River Publishers

Life Cycle Cost Optimization of a Bolig+ Zero Energy Building

Author: Anna Joanna Marszal-Pomianowska, Department of Civil Engineering, The Faculty of Engineering and Science, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark

Keywords: Zero Energy Building (ZEB), Energy Conservation, Building Energy Consumption, Cost-Optimal Net ZEB Definition

Buildings consume approximately 40% of the world's primary energy use. Considering the total energy consumption throughout the whole life cycle of a building, the energyperformance and supply is an important issue in the context of climate change, scarcity of energy resources and reduction of global energy consumption. An energyconsuming as well as producing building, labelled as the Zero Energy Building (ZEB) concept, is seen as one of the solutions that could change the picture of energyconsumption in the building sector, and thus contribute to the reduction of the global energy use. However, before being fully implemented in the national building codesand international standards, the ZEB concept requires a clear understanding and a uniform definition.

The ZEB concept is an energy-conservation solution, whose successful adaptation in real life depends significantly on private building owners' approach to it. For thisparticular target group, the cost is often an obstacle when investing money in environmental or climate friendly products. Therefore, this PhD project took theperspective of a future private ZEB owner to investigate the cost-optimal Net ZEB definition applicable in the Danish context.

The review of the various ZEB approaches indicated a general concept of a Zero Energy Building as a building with significantly reduced energy demand that isbalanced by an equivalent energy generation from renewable sources. And, with this as a general framework, each ZEB definition should further specify: (1) the connection orthe lack of it to the energy infrastructure, (2) the unit of the balance, (3) the period of the balance, (4) the types of energy use included in the balance, (5) the minimumenergy performance requirements (6) the renewable energy supply options, and if applicable (7) the requirements of the building-grid interaction. Moreover, the studyrevealed that the future ZEB definitions applied in the Denmark should mostly be focused on grid-connected ZEBs – Net ZEBs, and the annual primary energy balance.

The Life Cycle Cost (LCC) analysis conducted with a study case of a multi-storey residential Net ZEB aimed to determine the cost-optimal "zero" energy balance,minimum energy performance requirements and options of supplying renewable energy. The calculation encompassed three levels of energy frames, which mirrored theDanish low-energy building classes included in the current building code, and ten renewable energy supply systems including both on-site and off-site options. Theresults indicated that although the off-site options have lower life cycle costs than the on-site alternatives, their application would promote renewable technologies overenergy efficiency measures. Thus, they oppose the Danish plans to gradually make the energy performance requirements stricter. Moreover, the results showed that districtheating is a less cost-attractive solution than a ground source heat pump for a private building owner. Finally, with 2010-level of energy prices, cost-optimal "zero" energybalance accounts only for the building related energy use.



e-ISBN: 9788792982261
Available From: December 2012
Price:

KEYWORDS:
Zero Energy Building (ZEB), Energy Conservation, Building Energy Consumption, Cost-Optimal Net ZEB Definition