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Abstract
The diagnosis and classification of COPD can be seen as the difficult task of describing a heterogeneous disease affecting a complex organ, using relatively simple measurements. Combining these measurements and thus describing the impact of disease on the lung and its functional capacity can provide additional information enabling the clinician to succeed in this task. In this context, this thesis has investigated the clinical description of pulmonary gas exchange abnormalities, the current standard (DLCO), the reference experimental technique (MIGET), and a new alternative (ALPE). The aim of the thesis has been to investigate the challenges ALPE faces in patients suffering from COPD (paper I) and if possible to present and evaluate new methods to overcome these challenges (paper II). Furthermore, integration of ALPE with routinely available clinical measurement and its relation to HRCT-scans was evaluated (paper III).

The basics of the ALPE method is a mathematical model of pulmonary gas exchange. The thesis presents a novel method that allows this steady state model of gas exchange to be parameterized using breath by breath data. The principle of the method lies in estimation of delay in SpO₂ measurements due to systemic circulation, and with this a corrected dataset for usage with the model can be constructed. The new method was evaluated in patients suffering from COPD and was shown to deliver equivalent results as the traditional steady state method. Another contribution of the thesis, has been the construction of a causal probabilistic network, where routinely available clinical measurement and ALPE is integrated. The network was shown to be able to categorize four well defined cases of COPD, similarly to the radiologic description obtained from HRCT-scans.

This thesis has demonstrated, that ALPE may possibly be used as a tool for functional description in patients suffering from COPD. The developed causal network integrating ALPE with other routinely available measurements, may be used to target radiologic phenotypes of COPD, possibly in long-term clinical cohort studies or in daily clinical practice.
List of papers
This thesis is based on the following papers, which will be referred to in the text by their Arabic numeral 1-3:


   a. Bases on the following peer-reviewed conference paper:

2.2 Can the current ALPE equipment be used in the often unstable breathing patterns seen in these patients? ............................................................................................................................................................................................................................ 39
  2.2.1 Airway adapter ........................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................... 39
  2.2.2 Gas-mixing ................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................. 40
  2.2.3 Summary .................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................... 41

2.3 Is it possible to design a strategy for measurement and model fitting which does not require steady state conditions for oxygen? ............................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................. 42
  2.3.1 Method for correction of breath by breath data to approximate ALPE data ...................................................... 42
  2.3.2 Comparison of results from normal steady state and breath by breath data ...................................................... 44
  2.3.3 Summary .................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................... 48

2.4 Do the effects of hypoxic drive prevent the use of oxygen as a tracer in patients with COPD? ........ 49
  2.4.1 Method for validation of model input variation .............................................................................................................. 49
  2.4.2 Evaluation of changes in model inputs .............................................................................................................. 50
  2.4.3 Summary .................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................... 51

2.5 How can ALPE measurements be integrated with other measurements to provide an integrated clinical picture of the lungs, and if so can such a description provide similar classifications as radiologic descriptions of the lungs? ............................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................. 52
  2.5.1 Causal probabilistic network for classification of COPD ...................................................................................... 52
  2.5.2 Clinical data for the network and assessment of HRCT.......................................................................................... 58
  2.5.3 Classification of patients ........................................................................................................................................................... 59
  2.5.4 Summary .................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................... 63

3 Discussion ............................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................. 65
  3.1 What complexity is necessary to describe pulmonary gas exchange in COPD? ............................................... 66
  3.2 Can measurement at steady state in COPD be relaxed? ................................................................................................. 68
  3.3 Can oxygen be used as a tracer in COPD? ................................................................................................................................. 71
  3.4 Can radiologic profiles be classified using both ALPE and other routine measurements in a causal network? ............................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................. 73

Acknowledgements ............................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................. 75

References ............................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................. 76

Summary ............................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................. 87

Danish summary ............................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................. 89
**Abbreviations and Symbols**

Through-out the thesis clinical abbreviations for diseases, diagnostic tools etc. are used. These are “popular” abbreviations and will be explained upon first usage.

The symbols and the abbreviations for measurements and variables for the mathematical models are defined as follows: a main symbol followed by a modifier and a substance.

### Main symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Gas fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Gas pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q̇</td>
<td>Cardiac output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>˙V</td>
<td>Ventilation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Modifiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Arterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Inspired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>Pulse oximetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>et</td>
<td>End-tidal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>Tidal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Capillary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Substance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>Oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb</td>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COHb</td>
<td>Carboxy-hemoglobin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Carbon monoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MetHb</td>
<td>Met-hemoglobin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other abbreviations and symbols used in the thesis

- **ALPE** Automatic Lung Parameter Estimator
- **MIGET** Multiple Inert Gas Elimination Technique
- \( \Delta P_{O₂} \) Oxygen pressure drop from alveolar air to lung-capillary blood
- \( \Delta P_{O₂} \) Carbon dioxide pressure drop from lung-capillary blood to alveolar air
- \( V̇A/Q̇ \) Alveolar ventilation to perfusion ratio
1 Theoretical and technical background

1.1 Introduction

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is a major respiratory disease characterised by a progressive and irreversible decline in lung function resulting in increased morbidity and mortality of the patients. Worldwide, an estimated 210 million people suffer from COPD [1], and even then the disease is considered substantially underdiagnosed [2]. The mortality rate of COPD is high and is expected to increase, with predictions seeing COPD being the fourth most likely cause of death in 2030 with nearly 8% of all deaths, only exceeded by ischaemic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and HIV/AIDS [3]. The primary cause of COPD is tobacco smoke, although in middle and low income countries, in particular, indoor pollution contributes significantly [4].

Diagnosis of COPD is based primarily on post-bronchodilator spirometry. Initially, the rate of obstruction is determined from the ratio between forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV1) and forced expiratory volume (FVC). The grade of severity of airflow limitation is quantified by the reduction in FEV1 in percent of expected value (FEV1%). From the worldwide accepted diagnostic recommendations proposed by the Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD), a FEV1/FVC ratio below 0.7 describes persistent airflow limitation and therefore COPD [5]. The severity of airflow limitation is stratified into four groups, one to four, or mild, moderate, severe and very severe. This is usually termed the GOLD-stratification or -grade and has been the cornerstone in COPD diagnosis up until 2011 [6].

In the most recent revisions of the GOLD classification of COPD starting in 2011, diagnosis using spirometry has been supplemented by a risk assessment for each patient [5, 6], as FEV1% has been shown to correlate poorly with cardinal symptoms of COPD as dyspnoea and impact on daily life [7]. This risk assessment, see Figure 1, combines the stratification based on FEV1% with the patient’s symptoms described by the modified Medical Research Council dyspnoea score (mMRC) [8] or the COPD Assessment Test (CAT) score [9], and the number of
exacerbations in the preceding year. Patients are grouped in the left or right column according to their symptoms and in the bottom or top row according to the highest ranking decline in FEV1% or number of exacerbations.

This new assessment attempts to better reflect the complexity of COPD compared to the one-dimensional GOLD grade, and specific treatments are recommended for each group (A to D) [5, 6]. Studies evaluating this assessment have however shown, that the prognostic validity of mortality is equal to the former GOLD-stage [10, 11]. The studies has also shown that patients are distributed unevenly in the four groups. In each of the groups A and D one third of the patients are placed. These are the patients suffering mainly from COPD where their increasing airflow limitation causes a corresponding increase in symptoms. In the groups B and C the remaining patients are found. Group B are those patients with a minor airflow limitation but with a number of exacerbations in the preceding year.
co-morbidities increasing their symptoms. In group C, patients are found with significant airflow limitation but, interestingly, without many symptoms.

The GOLD stage and the new combined risk assessment are both simplified models aiming to characterise a group of patients known to be very heterogeneous [12]. COPD is a collection of pathoanatomical changes that can affect various structures within the lung, were the two main contributors are emphysema and airway disease [13]. In emphysema the lung parenchyma degenerates and reduced the elastic recoil of the lung. The degeneration leads to a loss in alveoli and changes to the capillary network, thus minimizing the effective area of the lung for exchange of respiratory gases. In airway disease, inflammation is present in the small bronchi or bronchioles which lead to an increase in airway resistance and thus air trapping. Both emphysema and airway disease can impair the main functions of the lung, which are providing oxygen for metabolism in the tissues and removing carbon dioxide to uphold homeostasis.

In order to obtain a deeper understanding of the severity of disease and underlying pathoanatomy of the lung in a specific patient, it is thus often necessary to use further diagnostic tools than the GOLD stage and combined risk assessment.
1.2 Additional diagnostic tools in COPD
In the recommendations from GOLD, additional investigations are to be considered if the clinical picture of the patient and the reported symptoms are inconsistent. The purpose of the additional investigations are primarily to determine the severity of disease but some measures, or combination of several may lead to a deeper understanding of the underlying causes of the disease. The additional investigations include: arterial blood gases (ABG), exercise testing, imaging, lung volumes, and diffusion capacity.

1.2.1 ABG
Samples of arterial blood gases are routinely taken in some pulmonary labs whereas in others it depends on the oxygen saturation by pulse oximetry (SpO₂), where a level below 92% often is the criterion for sampling [6]. Additionally, GOLD recommends sampling of ABG in patients with a FEV1% below 35% [6]. Acid/base balance, oxygen saturation and pressure, carbon dioxide pressure, and hemoglobin concentration and sub-fractions are all useful to achieve a deeper understanding of the patient’s state, although they seldom supply significant information useful in determining cause of disease. Sampling of ABG is associated with a minor risk of infection for the patient [14] and often causes discomfort due to arterial puncture.

1.2.2 Exercise testing
Exercise performance tests are often used in pulmonary laboratories, where maximum cardiopulmonary exercise testing using a cycle ergometer, the six-minute walk test and the shuttle walk test are well known [15]. Exercise testing is useful to assess the degree of disability, presence of exercise-induced hypoxemia, and response to treatment. The results from walking tests are easy to interpret, particularly in relation to the patient’s performance in daily activities. However, caution should be taken when interpreting these tests, as they are subjective and studies have questioned the reproducibility [16]. Exercise tests provides compound measures, were all aspects of COPD and co-morbidities results in marked underperformance, and therefore cannot be used to establish information that can be related to the underlying cause of disease.
1.2.3 Imaging
Different modalities are available that can visualize the structural changes occurring in the lung as a consequence of COPD. The simple chest x-ray has, according to diagnostic guidelines, little value in establishing the diagnosis of COPD as the resolution is too coarse to visualize the lesions associated with COPD, but it can be used to help establish differential diagnosis and determine co-morbidities [17].

CT-scans are capable of depicting both lung tissue and airways, allowing separation between and describing extend of emphysema and airway disease [18, 19]. CT-scans are not used in routine clinical practice as they have some major disadvantages: the patient is administered a high dose of radiation and often these patients will require follow-up examinations for several years as disease progresses; the equipment and hence the cost of scanning is expensive; and the examination in itself is strenuous for the patient suffering from COPD, as they are often claustrophobic [20, 21] and are required to hold their breath at often at maximal inspiration during the procedure. CT-scans are however often used in clinical co-horde trials to achieve full description of the included patients [22, 23].

The use of MR-scans has gained increasing interest as it may provide the same description as the CT-scan but without exposing the patient to radiation. New and interesting techniques utilizing MR and tracer gases can be used to create ventilation or perfusion weighted images, although the conventional MR sees a few problems like motion artefact and low proton density in the lungs [19]. A problem with MR may also be the limited availability compared to CT.

1.2.4 Lung volumes
Measurement of lung volumes, in particular intrathoracic gas volume (ITGV), residual volume (RV) and total lung capacity (TLC), can be used to further characterize the impact of COPD on lung mechanics [24]. In the early stages of disease, some patient’s exhibit gas trapping, and, as a result of increased airflow limitation, hyperinflation can occur. Changes in lung volumes can be measured with a body plethysmograph or “body
that is usually available at larger pulmonary departments. This measurement procedure requires substantial cooperation from the patient to perform breath holdings and expirations against a closed shutter, which may cause that these patients can be unable to co-operate because of anxiety [21]. The patient is also required to be enclosed in the box during the measurement, where again claustrophobia can contribute to a discomforting experience. The body box can also be used to measure dynamic airway resistance which is used to identify obstructive and restrictive components of disease [24].

1.2.5 Diffusion capacity
The capacity of the lung to exchange respiratory gases across the alveolar-capillary interface has a significant effect on the functional level of a patient, as lack of oxygen to the tissues or accumulation of carbon dioxide have numerous consequences. This capacity is determined by both structural and functional properties of the lung. The structural properties include gas volume in lungs, and the thickness and area of alveolar-capillary interface. The functional properties include the levels of both ventilation and perfusion, the binding properties and concentration of hemoglobin, and the tension of gas in the blood and alveoli. The single breath diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO), aims to describe this property of the lung. With DLCO, the ability of the lung to transport oxygen from the alveoli into blood is measured by the transport of carbon monoxide (CO). DLCO is calculated using population reference values and expressed as a percentage. Impairment of DLCO is seen when the effective alveolar area is reduced, i.e. the alveolar area contribution to gas exchange. Reduction in this area can however occur as a result of other factors than diffusion limitation, e.g. ventilation-perfusion (VA/Q) mismatch, left to right pulmonary shunt, or oxygen diffusion limitation [25]. In fact, it is widely recognized, that DLCO is a compound measure describing all the above mentioned factors lumped into one measure [26]. The measurement in itself is cumbersome for the patient, as it involves rapid inspiration to vital capacity and breath-holding for 8-12 seconds, and has shown to be somewhat problematic because of multiple
sources of variability [27]. Due to the variability in the measurement it has been proposed that at least 10% and maybe up to 25% constitutes a clinical significant change in DLCO [27, 28].

1.2.6 Summary
The diagnosis of COPD can be seen as difficult, and the heterogeneity of the disease often requires additional investigation of the patient’s lung in order to fully understand the underlying cause of disease. The additional diagnostic tools contribute with different descriptions of the patient’s grade and possible underlying causes of the disease. Imaging has a great potential determining pathoanatomical changes and can be used to differentiate between emphysema and airway disease, but is not used in routine clinical practice because of the relatively high cost and risk of radiation. Exercise tests, ABG’s and lung volume measurements are used to further determine the severity of disease and each contributes with diagnostic valuable information. DLCO describes the gas exchange properties of the lung as a simple compound measure. In patients suffering from COPD the lesions in the conducting airway and the degeneration of parenchyma have large effects on the ventilation in the lungs. At the same time, the perfusion is also affected as the alveoli are degenerated. Both effects leads to \( \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} \) mismatch and therefore DLCO may not be the optimal measurement to describe pulmonary gas exchange in the lungs.

In the following section the description of pulmonary gas exchange in COPD is investigated further.
1.3 Description of pulmonary gas exchange in COPD

This section describes pulmonary gas exchange in patients suffering from COPD. It begins with a description of the reference experimental technique for describing pulmonary gas exchange, the Multiple Inert Gas Elimination Technique (MIGET), which is presented along with its contribution to the understanding of COPD. Because of its complexity, MIGET is usually restricted to an experimental environment and therefore a simpler technique, the Automatic Lung Parameter Estimator (ALPE) is introduced. ALPE has previously been used to describe gas exchange properties in pre- and post-operative patients as well as in patients with ALI/ARDS, using routine available data. Therefore, it may be possible that ALPE can also be used to describe patients suffering from COPD.

1.3.1 MIGET

The MIGET procedure, originally proposed in 1974 by Wagner, Saltzman, and West [29] is an experimental procedure and is widely considered the reference technique for describing pulmonary gas exchange of the lung. MIGET includes a complex model of the lung with 50 compartment each with a predefined $\dot{V}/Q$ ratio. Six inert gases (that is, they are only transported as dissolved in blood) are infused into a peripheral vein, and based on their retention and excretion measured from arterial blood and expired gas, the ratio of $\dot{V}/Q$ in the 50 compartments can be calculated [30].

Since its introduction, MIGET has been used in numerous studies and has been revolutionary in describing pulmonary gas exchange in many types of patients, including normal healthy individuals [31], in patients with acute respiratory failure [32], during general anesthesia [33], in cardiac disease [34], and in patients with bronchial asthma [35]. On several occasions patients suffering from COPD have also been investigated. In the pioneering study by Wagner and colleagues, 23 patients suffering from COPD was examined and the investigators characterized three $\dot{V}/Q$ typical patterns; the low pattern, the high pattern, and the high-low pattern [36]. Furthermore, these patterns where correlated with either the emphysematous type or the
bronchial type of COPD, as suggested by Burrows et al [37]. The investigators reported that the high \( \dot{V}/Q \) pattern was associated with the emphysematous type but that no other firm correlations could be made. Similar \( \dot{V}/Q \) patterns has been documented in other studies, although their association with clinical sub-types of COPD were less profound [38-40]. In Figure 2 the high and the low patterns are see. The horizontal axis shows the \( \dot{V}/Q \) ratio on a logarithmic scale, with \( \dot{V}/Q \) ranging from zero (i.e. shunt, black triangle) to infinity (i.e. dead space, white triangle). The vertical axis shows the ventilation (white dots) and perfusion (black dots) calculated from the retention and excretion of inert gases for each of the 50 compartments.

![Figure 2: Distribution of ventilation (circles) and perfusion (black dots) patterns in COPD, modified from [36] with permissions. White triangle shows dead space, black triangle shows intrapulmonary shunt. Panel A, low \( \dot{V}/Q \) pattern associated with airway disease. Panel B, high \( \dot{V}/Q \) pattern, associated with emphysema.](image)

The low pattern of \( \dot{V}/Q \) is seen in Figure 2A. Most of the ventilation is distributed around \( \dot{V}/Q = 1 \), whereas the perfusion has a bimodal pattern with a peak in low \( \dot{V}/Q \) and normal \( \dot{V}/Q \) compartments. In contrast, the pattern of high \( \dot{V}/Q \) is seen in Figure 2B. Here most of the perfusion is distributed around \( \dot{V}/Q = 1 \) and the bimodal pattern is seen for ventilation, with a normal and a high \( \dot{V}/Q \) peak.

In a more recent study, the quantity of \( \dot{V}/Q \) mismatch was compared to the progression in disease as described by the four GOLD stages. Here, it was found that low \( \dot{V}/Q \) is present in the earliest stage and often
more abnormal than the decline in FEV1, and that the overall $\dot{V}A/Q$ distribution of the lungs worsen with progression in disease, with the largest increase seen in high $\dot{V}A/Q$ [41].

Although MIGET is capable of describing abnormalities in patient’s gas exchange before significant decrease in FEV1 can be seen, and it can be used to differentiate between different patterns of $\dot{V}A/Q$ mismatch often seen in these patients, its use in clinical practice is very limited. This may be because of its high complexity and the duration of the procedure, and that only a few centers round the world possess the technology and expertise to perform the maneuver [42].

In contrast, attempts to describe pulmonary gas exchange properties with simpler models has been made prior to the introduction of MIGET [43, 44] and also in recent years [45-47]. Focusing on the latter of these, the model proposed by Kjaergaard et al., it has been shown to reproduce retention-excretion data from MIGET [48] and be able to simulate similar arterial oxygenation [49]. The model is included in the Automatic Lung Parameter Estimator (ALPE), which will be described in the next section together with its refinement since first publication. This refinement is described in details in paper 1 of this thesis.

1.3.2 ALPE
ALPE was introduced in 2002 by Rees et al. [50]. The method is based upon variation of inspired oxygen fraction ($FIO_2$) in 3-5 steps to achieve a range of arterial oxygenation (SpO$_2$) between 92 to 100%. At the end of each step oxygen steady state is allowed and measurements of ventilation, inspiratory and expiratory oxygen fractions and SpO$_2$. Completing measurements at the varying levels of inspired oxygen takes 10-15 minutes in total and the measurements are then used to identify parameters of the previously mentioned mathematical model of pulmonary gas exchange. ALPE has been implemented in different versions, depended on the clinical situations. The three implementations are seen in Figure 3, where the originally proposed (ALPE1) is seen in panel 1. ALPE2 for spontaneously breathing patients (panel 2) and ALPE3 for mechanically ventilated patients
(panel 3) are both validated for clinical use and are commercially available. In the following section the mathematical model of ALPE will be presented, where after each implementation of ALPE will be introduced shortly and the rationale for development of the dedicated versions is summarized.

**Figure 3:** Implementations of the ALPE system, from [51] with permission. Panel 1: ALPE1, the 2002 experimental implementation of the ALPE method including a ventilator (A1&A2), a gas analyzer with oximeter (B1&B2), a computer (C) collecting the data, the gas-inlet (D&E), and the face mask (F). Panel 2: ALPE2, the implementation for spontaneously breathing patients, consisting of the main unit including gas tanks and a respiration unit where the patient breathes freely through. A schematic drawing of the respiration unit (inset) where flow (A) and oxygen fraction (B) are measured by mainstream sensors and proper mixing of oxygen or nitrogen is ensured by infusion of the gases occurring against the inspiratory stream and being distributed via a mixing lattice (D). The disposable tube also has an antibacterial and humidity filter (C). Panel 3: ALPE3, the implementation for mechanically ventilated patients, showing the main unit to the left, consisting of a touchscreen displaying ALPE results and hardware for sidestream measurement of $O_2$, $CO_2$, flow and pressure. The inset to the right shows the airway adapter that slots into the existing respiratory circuit, with sidestream gas sampling port (A) and pressure difference flow sensor (B).
1.3.2.1 Mathematical model of ALPE
The mathematical model of ALPE is a steady state description of oxygenation in the whole body such that oxygen transported into the blood from ventilation is equivalent to oxygen consumptions at the tissues ($V\text{O}_2$).
As a function of inspired oxygen fractions the model can be used to simulate arterial oxygenation. This can be used to describe how the model can differentiate between levels of pulmonary shunt and low $V\lambda/Q$. In patients, quantification of these problems is achieved when the model is fitted to clinical data.
Figure 4: The mathematical model of oxygen transport, modified slightly (from [52] with permission). The model consists of three compartments, where two are ventilated and perfused representing gas exchange in the lungs, and the third representing pulmonary shunt. The equations describe the transport of oxygen at steady state from the alveoli or air into the tissues: 1-4) oxygen flow into the alveoli and blood ($V_{O_2}$) in total and addition from each compartment; 5) total expired oxygen fraction ($F_{O_2}$); 6-7) oxygen partial pressure ($P_{O_2}(1)$, $P_{O_2}(2)$); 8) drop in $O_2$ partial pressure from expired gas to capillary blood; 9) mixed concentration of arterial blood ($C_{aO_2}$); 10-14) relationship between oxygen partial pressure ($P_{O_2}$), saturation ($S_{O_2}$) and concentration ($C_{O_2}$) in the capillary compartments calculated from the oxygen dissociation curve (ODC) and blood variables; 15-16) concentration of oxygen in the lung capillary compartments ($C_{cO_2}(1)$, $C_{cO_2}(2)$) combining venous concentration ($C_{vO_2}$) and the increase in oxygen concentration resulting from alveolar equilibration; 17) venous oxygen concentration ($C_{vO_2}$) combining arterial oxygen concentration ($C_{aO_2}$) and the drop in oxygen concentration as a result of consumption in the tissues.
The model, shown in Figure 4, includes a three compartment representation of gas exchange in the lungs, with pulmonary shunt, and two compartments with uniquely adjustable ventilation/perfusion ratios $\dot{V}A/Q(1)$ and $\dot{V}A/Q(2)$. The parameters of the model are shunt ($f_s$), the fraction of cardiac output not contributing to gas exchange; the fractional alveolar ventilation to the $\dot{V}/Q$ compartments ($f_A2$); and the fraction of non-shunted perfusion to the $\dot{V}/Q$ compartments ($f_2$). The inputs for the model are oxygen consumption ($\dot{V}O_2$) obtained from measurement of respiratory gases ($F_{et}O_2$, $F_{l}O_2$) at steady state conditions; cardiac output ($Q$), obtained using invasive or non-invasive techniques or approximated; the acid base characteristics of blood which are used to describe the position of the oxygen dissociation curve (using a model of blood [53]); and the alveolar ventilation obtained from frequency, tidal volume, and serial dead space ($V_D$s). In most of the published studies using ALPE, the parameter $f_2$ was locked at 0.9 meaning that one compartment received 90% of the non-shunted pulmonary perfusion and the other 10%. In doing so, $\dot{V}A/Q(2)$ becomes a low $\dot{V}A/Q$ compartment and $\dot{V}A/Q(1)$ a normal to high $\dot{V}A/Q$ compartment. A further discussion of the parameter $f_2$ and the potential for estimating it from experimental data can be found on page 33. Parameterization of the low $\dot{V}/Q$ compartment can be expressed as the parameter $\Delta P_{O_2}$ which describes an oxygen drop from end tidal to the mixed blood leaving aerated compartments. The equations describing the model are explained in details in the legend to Figure 4.

1.3.2.1.1 Model simulations
The model can be used to simulate changes in arterial oxygen saturation ($SaO_2$) due to changes in inspired oxygen fraction. By fixing values of model parameters and inputs, simulations can be performed on changes of $FiO_2$ to new steady state conditions. In Figure 5A and Figure 5B examples of this is shown. Figure 5A illustrates how pulmonary shunt flattens the curve. The shunted blood flow through collapsed alveoli does not receive any ventilation and thus oxygen, resulting in only small increases in saturation as oxygen fractions is raised. The simulated curve flatten further on increasing shunt. In contrast, Figure 5B illustrates the effect of low $\dot{V}/Q$
(ΔPO₂). With increasing low VA/Q the curves are shifted to the right, which is not surprising as blood passing through regions of the lung with little ventilation can be oxygenated further on increasing inspired oxygen levels.

As can be seen on the figure, shunt and low VA/Q behave different to changes in FiO₂ and can therefore be estimated from changes in FiO₂ and measurement of SpO₂ response. Upon variations in inspired oxygen fraction, and measurement of inputs, the model can be parameterized with the level of shunt and low VA/Q determined for the individual patient, as explained in the following section.

![Figure 5: Model simulations and fit to data, part A and B with permission from [52]. Part A showing model simulation of pulmonary shunt at different levels. Part B shows model simulations of deltaPO₂. Part C shows the best fit of the model (curve) to the data measured at steady state (circles).](image)

1.3.2.1.2 Estimation of model parameters
The description of gas exchange problems in a patient is achieved by fitting the model to the measured data and estimating model parameters. Figure 5C illustrate an example where the model was fitted to measurements of steady state FetO₂ versus SpO₂ (circles), with the full data set given in Table 1. For each oxygenation steady state (circles in figure, columns in table) several variables are measured. If arterial blood gas is available at each level this can also be included. FetO₂ and SpO₂ are given to the model in pairs whereas all other measurements are mean values for all inspired oxygen levels. In Figure 5C, the curve shows the “best” fit of the model to the data using least-squared fitting techniques, where the error between the simulated SaO₂
and the measured SpO$_2$ is optimized. These techniques will not be explained further here, as they have been described in details elsewhere [54].

Table 1: Typical dataset for usage with the mathematical model of ALPE, patient 1. FIO$_2$, inspired oxygen fraction; FetO$_2$, end-tidal carbon dioxide fraction; SpO$_2$, pulse oximetry arterial oxygen saturation; RF, respiration frequency; Vt, tidal volume; VO$_2$, oxygen consumption; VCO$_2$, carbon dioxide production; FetCO$_2$, end-tidal carbon dioxide fraction; VDs, serial deadspace; pH$_a$, arterial pH; PaO$_2$, arterial oxygen pressure; SaO$_2$, arterial oxygen saturation; PaCO$_2$, arterial carbon dioxide pressure; ctHb, total haemoglobin; FCOHb, carboxyhaemoglobin fraction; FMetHb, methaemoglobin fraction; Q, cardiac output.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxygenation steady state</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIO$_2$</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FetO$_2$</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO$_2$ (%)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF (Breath/min)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vt (L/min)</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO$_2$ (L/min)</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDs (L)</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH$_a$</td>
<td>7.467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO$_2$ (kPa)</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SaO$_2$ (%)</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO$_2$ (kPa)</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ctHb (mmol/L)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCOHb</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FmetHb</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q (L/min)</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.3.2.2 ALPE1

ALPE1 was introduced as a research tool and designed around a ventilator (Servo 300, Siemens, Sweden) (Figure 3.1, A1 and A2) and a gas analyzer with pulse oximeter (BK104, Bruel and Kjær, Denmark) (Figure 3.1, B1 and B2), coupled to a computer (Figure 3.1, C) with the ability to automatically collect data from the experiment. The ventilator was replaced by that ventilating the patient when the system was used in mechanically ventilated patients. For use in spontaneously breathing patients, the patient was ventilated using CPAP mode with zero pressure support and the patient breathed normally through the system. The ventilator was used to control the inspired gas fraction, where oxygen was supplied on super-atmospheric levels and nitrogen during sub-atmospheric level.
Clinical use of ALPE1 has led to a refinement of the system, which is described in details in paper 1. One of the major improvements to the system is the addition of two dedicated implementations of ALPE. In a pre- or post-operative setup patients often breathe spontaneously without the need for mechanical ventilation. In this case a simpler ALPE system could be developed excluding the functionality of a mechanical ventilator, but including gas mixing so as to allowing variation of inspired oxygen fraction. As pre-and post-operative patients often suffer from relatively minor respiratory abnormalities in relation to ICU patients, gas mixing was designed to deliver sub-atmospheric levels of FiO₂, in order to draw the characteristic FiO₂ versus SpO₂ curves introduced in the previous section. The second dedicated version of the system was designed for patients in the ICU who typically are already on mechanical ventilation. In this case a system was designed which exploited the patients existing mechanical ventilator for gas delivery and mixing, providing only measurement functionality.

**1.3.2.3 ALPE2**

ALPE2 is the version designed for spontaneously breathing patients not on mechanical ventilation, see Figure 3.2. The primary part of the system is a respiratory unit, where flow and oxygen fraction is measured, and supplementary gas is injected during inspiration to ensure correct inspiratory oxygen fraction (Figure 3.2, inset). During measurement the patient breathes freely through the respiratory unit, and the system administers oxygen fraction and measures respiratory data needed by the ALPE model automatically. Oxygen saturation is measured with a standard pulse oximetry probe from the patient’s finger. The main body of the transportable system hold gas tanks with supplementary gases oxygen and nitrogen, and serves as the workstation for the operator of the system. The system has been described in more detail in paper 1.

**1.3.2.4 ALPE3**

ALPE3 is the version for mechanically ventilated patients and is designed as an add-on for the mechanical ventilator. A small airway adapter slots into the existing respiratory circuit and measures respiratory O₂ and CO₂ fractions, pressures and flow, see inset of Figure 3.3. Pulse oximetry arterial saturation is measured with a
standard finger probe. The system measures automatically and inspired gas fractions are controlled by the
operator on the patient’s ventilator, with the ALPE system providing advice as to the correct settings.

1.3.3 Summary
The potential of MIGET to provide a detailed description of gas exchange properties of the lung has been
demonstrated in several studies. It has been shown, that patients suffering from COPD have different patterns
of $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ mismatch and that correlation between the stages of disease to total $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ abnormality can be
established. The technique however, is experimental, time consuming and requires a high level of expertise. In
contrast, an approach using a simpler mathematical model which can be identified from routinely available
clinical data was presented, i.e. ALPE. The mathematical model of ALPE enables the description of pulmonary
shunt and $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ mismatch. The model has previously been shown to reproduce MIGET results and it could
therefore be interesting to investigate whether this technique could be used in the diagnosis of patients
suffering from COPD. The ALPE method has been implemented in three versions, where the ALPE2 designated
for spontaneously breathing patients will be suitable for patients suffering from COPD.
1.4 Challenges for ALPE in COPD
ALPE has been used in different clinical settings. Based on the experience gained from these studies and characteristics of patients suffering from COPD, the challenges for using ALPE for patients suffering from COPD are identified in the following section.

1.4.1 Clinical use of ALPE
Hypoxaemia caused by respiratory complications is often seen in patients following major surgery [55], and is considered “the main cause of increased hospital stay” [56]. Therefore, ALPE has been applied as a tool for assessing post-operative lung function in patients following gynecological laparotomy [57], cardiopulmonary bypass [58], and abdominal surgery [47]. In these studies it has been shown that patients’ gas exchange problems can be described satisfactorily by shunt and V/Q mismatch, see Figure 6, panel A. Typically in these patients, values of shunt increased immediately following surgical intervention whereas low V/Q tended to peak 2-3 days following surgery. The increase in shunt is consistent with immediate atelectasis due to surgical procedure and anaesthesia [59], where the peak in low V/Q is consistent with elevated risk of post-operative hypoxaemia [60].
Figure 6: ALPE simulations (curves) of \( \text{FeO}_2 \) versus \( \text{SpO}_2 \) from patient data (stars) in disease, from [51] with permissions. **Panel A** shows simulations pre- (solid curve, circles) and post- (striped curve, stars) abdominal surgery. Gas exchange problems post-surgery is described by the model as an increase in shunt to 18% and \( \Delta \text{PO}_2 = 3.8 \text{kPa} \). **Panel B** shows simulations of data at PEEP levels 10cmH\(_2\)O (solid curve) and 15cmH\(_2\)O (striped curve) from a patient suffering from ARDS. The improvement in gas exchange resulting from increased PEEP is described by the model included in ALPE as reduction in shunt and \( \Delta \text{PO}_2 \), 28% to 20% and 4.5kPa to 3kPa, respectively.

ALPE has also been applied to patients in the ICU where diagnostic classification of Acute Lung Injury (ALI) and Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) is based on several variables including the value of the \( \text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 \) ratio [61]. ALPE was used to investigate the adequacy of this ratio and it was showed that misclassification can occur in as much as 30% of patients [62]. It was shown here again, that the mathematical model of ALPE could describe the gas exchange problems of the patient’s satisfactorily using shunt and \( \dot{V}_A/Q \) mismatch. Figure 6, panel B, shows example of the model describing a patients with ARDS at two different levels of PEEP. For this patient the increase in PEEP by 5cmH\(_2\)O benefits the patient, as shunt is reduced by 8% and \( \Delta \text{PO}_2 \) by 1.5kPa.

Up until now, ALPE has been shown to describe a variety of patients both mechanically ventilated and spontaneously breathing, where the primary gas exchanges problems was caused by high fractions of pulmonary shunt and areas of low \( \dot{V}_A/Q \). None of the existing implementation of ALPE has been systematically used in patients suffering from COPD, and possibly the differences these patients may exhibit can lead to
challenges for the application of ALPE. In the following section the characteristics of a COPD patient that may be seen as a challenge for application of ALPE in COPD are described.

1.4.2 Identification of challenges in relation to application of ALPE in COPD
Patients suffering from COPD are defined by GOLD criteria as having a FEV1% below 80% and a FEV1/TLC ratio below 0.7 [6]. The obstructive nature of the disease and the often chronic dyspnea can as a consequence lead to difficulties in any measurement that challenges the ability of the patient to breathe freely. Previous studies report that patients suffering from COPD are often claustrophobic [20, 21] and can be unable to co-operate because of anxiety [21] due to usage of facemasks or ventilation mouth pieces. Furthermore, the addition of any external resistance will cause a load that needs additional work of breathing from the patient. As the patients respiratory muscles may be fatigued, this increased work of breathing may cause irregular breathing patterns like for instance rapid shallow breathing [63]. If ALPE is to be used in patients suffering from COPD, it is important that the mouthpiece and airway adapter introduces minimal resistance and that duration of the measurement is as short as possible to avoid discomfort for the patient. Furthermore, the sub- or super-atmospheric inspired gas fractions needs to be stable even as respiration patterns change widely.

It has previously been established, that the principal contributor to hypoxaemia in patients suffering from COPD is mismatch of pulmonary ventilation and perfusion [36, 64, 65]. This is either seen as areas of low $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ with substantial perfusion to under ventilated areas or high $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ with increased ventilation to under perfused areas and thus increased physiological dead space. The increase in very high $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ or physiological dead space is associated with elevated levels of arterial carbon dioxide [66]. Rapid shallow breathing may also be a contributor to high $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ [67, 68]. If ALPE is to be used in patients suffering from COPD, description of high $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ must be included. The implementation of the mathematical model currently used with ALPE2, i.e. the fraction $f_2$ is fixed at 0.9, is not capable of describing high $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ and this represents a challenge to the application of ALPE.
During a measurement with ALPE inspired oxygen fraction is varied. As such, changes in oxygen are used as a tracer to identify $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ mismatch. After each variation, oxygen is allowed to equilibrate which is assessed by monitoring end-tidal oxygen fraction plateau. Typically one to two minutes is waited before end-tidal plateau occurs, however this may not be sufficient. This has been investigated for patients with COPD in a PhD study running parallel to this, concluding that the time to steady state in $\text{PaO}_2$ and $\text{SaO}_2$ after changes in $\text{FiO}_2$ was up to 10 - 15 minutes [69]. This means an ALPE measurement with 3 changes in inspired oxygen could last more than 45 minutes, which is unacceptable in a clinical setting and especially in these patients. If ALPE is to be used in patients suffering from COPD, the need for measurements to be taken at steady state conditions needs to be relaxed, allowing a faster examination procedure than is possible with the current version of ALPE.

The use of oxygen as a tracer to investigate pulmonary gas exchange raises other issues. The appropriate use of any tracer implies that the underlying system is not perturbed by the tracer. As the mathematical model is a steady-state description of gas exchange in the lungs, this assumption implies that model inputs ($\dot{V}\text{O}_2$, $\dot{V}A$, $\dot{Q}$, and acid-base status) remain constant for all $\text{FiO}_2$ levels. In patients suffering from COPD where arterial level of $\text{CO}_2$ are permanently elevated, this may not be true. In these patients respiratory drive may be controlled primarily by changes in oxygen pressure rather than carbon dioxide pressure [70] and as such lowering $\text{FiO}_2$ may increase total ventilation and increasing $\text{FiO}_2$ may decrease ventilation. The latter of these may lead to hypercapnia and respiratory failure [71, 72]. If ALPE is to be used in patients suffering from COPD, the impact of changing $\text{FiO}_2$ in these patients should be considered carefully.

In summary, ALPE can potentially be used for description of lung function in COPD given, the above mentioned challenges can be solved. The techniques however, may need to be integrated with other available clinical measures to enable a meaningful clinical description COPD that can aid in diagnosis and follow-up of patients.
In the following section, it is investigated how description of gas exchange may aid in a combined description of COPD.

1.4.3 Integration of ALPE with clinical available measurements
The current clinical standard for measuring gas exchange in patients with COPD is the measurement of DLCO. To understand the potential need for ALPE requires consideration of the limitations of DLCO. DLCO can be criticized for lumping all causes of gas exchange abnormalities into one, and thus possibly missing descriptive information regarding the underlying cause of disease. In contrast to this, the description of patient’s pulmonary gas exchange with MIGET has shown, that different $\dot{V}A/Q$ distribution patterns exist in these patients. Furthermore it has been shown that patient’s oxygenation problems can be explained solely by $\dot{V}A/Q$ mismatch, without the need for resistance to diffusion. In some studies using MIGET a correlation between specific patterns and sub-types of disease has been shown, for example a pattern of increased high $\dot{V}A/Q$ n emphysema.

A combined assessment of the patient’s lung may provide a more complete description of the impairment of disease and could lead to a deeper understanding of the underlying causes. As quantification of $\dot{V}A/Q$ mismatch is a description of pulmonary gas exchange and therefore a functional description, a combination with a description of the mechanical properties of the lungs such as FEV1% might be appropriate. It is possible that a combined assessment of the impact of disease on functional and mechanical properties of the lungs may help to determine the underlying cause of disease, i.e. the pathoanatomical subtype of COPD, emphysema or airway disease. Both emphysema and or airway disease present as obstruction, measurable by FEV%1, but may have different patterns of $\dot{V}A/Q$ mismatch. If such an assessment is made, it could be compared to a radiologic classification of COPD, where the pathoanatomical subtypes are determined by visual inspection. This may provide a radiologic classification using simpler clinical measurements.
1.4.4 Summary
ALPE has been shown to describe gas exchange problems in different clinical setting in patients undergoing therapy with mechanical ventilation and those with spontaneous breathing alone. The gas exchange abnormalities seen in patients previously studies with ALPE have been due mainly to shunt and low $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$. In contrast, the principal contributor to gas exchange abnormalities in patients suffering from COPD is $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ mismatch, where both areas of low $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ and high $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ contribute to poor gas exchange. Therefore, the mathematical model of ALPE need to incorporate a description of high $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$.

In addition, achieving oxygenation steady state within a few minutes following a change in inspired oxygen fraction could be a problem in these patients, and hypoxia may change their breathing patterns. The use of oxygen as a tracer is not therefore straightforward in these patients and the effects of changing inspired oxygen should be considered carefully, including the need to eliminate the need for measurements to be taken at steady state conditions.

A further issue associated with the application of ALPE in these patients is the combined effect of obstruction, hypoxia and changes in breathing patterns that may make reliable measurements with an airway adapter problematic. Investigation is therefore required to see: if the airway adapter of ALPE2 is suitable for use in COPD; if the measurement can be performed within a reasonable time; and if the gas mixing system is capable of delivering stable fractions of inspired gas.

Assuming that the challenges identified above can be addressed adequately, the question remains as to whether an ALPE description of pulmonary gas exchange can be combined with other readily available measurements, and whether such combination could result in an improved description of the disease. A combined description might be able to characterize patients according to their pathoanatomical subtypes emphysema and airway disease identified from radiological images.
1.5 Aim of the thesis
The previous section has identified a number of challenges for using ALPE in measuring pulmonary gas exchange in patients suffering from COPD. These challenges have led to the following questions and it is the consideration of these which constitutes the aim of this thesis:

- How can the current version of ALPE be modified to be applicable for use in patients suffering from COPD?

  To address this question requires consideration of a number of sub questions. These points are the basis of paper 1 and paper 2.

  - How can the current ALPE model be modified to enable description of high \( V_{A}/Q \) units of the lung which often present in COPD?
  
  - Can the current ALPE equipment be used in the often unstable breathing patterns seen in these patients?
  
  - Is it possible to design a strategy for measurement and model fitting which does not require steady state conditions for oxygen?
  
  - Do the effects of hypoxic drive prevent the use of oxygen as a tracer in patients with COPD?

- How can the ALPE measurements be integrated with other measurements to provide an integrated clinical picture of the lungs, and if so can such a description provide similar classifications as radiologic descriptions of the lungs? This question is the basis for paper 3.

The following chapter will present the methods and result of the thesis. The thesis is structures according to the research questions presented above, with chapters divided into sections each describing a question or sub-question. Each section will present specific methods and results from the papers, and the discussion of these and relation to the aims of the thesis will be summarized in the synthesis.
2 Application of ALPE in patients suffering from COPD

The previous chapter introduced the diagnosis of COPD, the use of functional and mechanical measurements of the lung, and criticized the current functional description of the lungs, DLCO. Alternatives to DLCO include ALPE, where gas exchange abnormalities are explained by shunt and $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ mismatch, which have been shown by the reference experimental technique MIGET to be the main problems associated with poor oxygenation in COPD. ALPE could potentially be used as a functional description of COPD, but challenges of using the technique in this range of patients has been identified. In this chapter the challenges will be investigated. Furthermore, the integration of ALPE with other clinical measures to provide a better description of the lung is investigated.

2.1 How can the current ALPE model be modified to enable description of high $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ units of the lung?

The mathematical model of ALPE describes transport of oxygen into the tissues and inefficient gas exchange is quantified as shunt and low $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$. In patients suffering from COPD fractions of high $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ has been shown to contribute to the abnormalities, and thus the model need to incorporate a description of high $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$.

2.1.1 Three parameter model with description of high $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$

As described earlier (see page 19) the model can be uniquely identified from its three parameters: shunt ($f_s$), the fraction of cardiac output not contributing to gas exchange; the fractional alveolar ventilation to the $V/Q$ compartments ($f_{A2}$); and the fraction of non-shunted perfusion to the $V/Q$ compartments ($f_2$). Currently, as the models parameters should be identifiable from the available oxygenation data, the parameter $f_2$ determining the fraction of non-shunted cardiac output reaching the two compartment is fixed. Through repeated analysis of data it was shown that the models description of shunt and $\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}$ mismatch was independent of $f_2$, and as a result setting $f_2$ at 0.9 was adopted [47]. This means $f_{A2}$ determines the fraction of alveolar ventilation reaching a compartment with either 10% ($\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}(1)$) or 90% ($\dot{V}A/\dot{Q}(2)$) of non-shunted
perfusion. When fA2 is set at 0.9 (90%) this gives equal \( \dot{V}A/Q \) ratios in both compartments i.e. an optimal \( \dot{V}A/Q \) relationship. Decreasing fA2 below 0.9 increases \( \dot{V}A/Q(1) \) and decreases \( \dot{V}A/Q(2) \), i.e. \( \dot{V}A/Q(2) \) is a low \( \dot{V}A/Q \) compartment and \( \dot{V}A/Q(1) \) a normal to high \( \dot{V}A/Q \) compartment.

In a previous study by Karbing et al. [54], the minimal model complexity able to describe clinical data was examined. Three mathematical models with increasing complexity were compared in their ability to fit data describing O\(_2\) and CO\(_2\) in patients residing in intensive care. A one parameter model describing pulmonary shunt, a two parameter model describing pulmonary shunt and ventilation varied to a fixed perfusion in two compartments (the model used with ALPE1 and ALPE2, described on page 19), and a three parameter model describing pulmonary shunt and both ventilation and perfusion varied in two compartments. Here it was shown that the shunt only model could not describe the patient sufficiently. The two and the three parameter model where able to describe most patients satisfactorily, although the three parameter model proved better in patients with significant fractions of very high \( \dot{V}A/Q \) problems. This is due to the fixed perfusion ratio, as discussed above, where very high \( \dot{V}A/Q \) fractions are unachievable. This is because the high \( \dot{V}A/Q \) compartment received 10% of the total non-shunted perfusion, which is too much to reach very high \( \dot{V}A/Q \).

Going from the two parameter model of ALPE to the three parameter model is in essence the unlocking of f2. To do so, requires additional data to be able to uniquely identify the three parameters and therefore equations describing the transport and storage of CO\(_2\) have been incorporated into the model. The three parameter model thus describes transport and storage of both oxygen and carbon dioxide from the lung and into the tissues. In addition to the inputs of the current ALPE model (\( \dot{V}O_2 \), \( \dot{Q} \), \( \dot{V}A \), acid-base status) carbon dioxide production (\( \dot{V}CO_2 \)) is added. Arterial carbon dioxide pressure (PaCO\(_2\)) is simulated by the model and a measured value is therefore needed in the fitting routine. Thus, to use the three parameter model with ALPE2 additional measurement of volumetric capnography are needed to calculate \( \dot{V}CO_2 \). PaCO\(_2\) can be measured.
from arterial blood. The fitting procedure for the three parameter model is, for oxygen, the same as to the two parameter model, i.e. least-squared fitting technique, where the error between the simulated SaO$_2$ and the measured SpO$_2$ is optimized. For CO$_2$, the error between the simulated PaCO$_2$ and the measured PaCO$_2$ is optimized. Figure 7 illustrates the fit of the three parameter model to four patients with different subtypes of COPD. The model is fit to oxygenation data as shown in the previous chapter, but also to end tidal and arterial CO$_2$ levels at baseline conditions.
As described previously, model parameters can be used to calculate surrogate parameters which are useful for clinical interpretation. ΔPO2 describes the oxygen drop from capillary blood to end tidal values and provides a clinical quantification of low \( \dot{V}a/\dot{Q} \) in the model. Similarly, high \( \dot{V}a/\dot{Q} \) can be quantified by ΔPCO2. ΔPCO2 describes the increase in carbon dioxide pressure from ventilated alveoli (FetCO2) to pulmonary capillary blood.
before admixture of shunted venous blood. High values of \( \Delta PCO_2 \) indicate insufficient CO\(_2\) removal and need for increased alveolar ventilation if CO\(_2\) retention due to \( V̇A/Q̇ \) mismatch is to be avoided.

2.1.2 Measurement of data required for the three parameter model
This thesis is focused on the technical aspects of ALPE and mathematical modeling. While the focus has not been on clinical investigation, both retrospective and prospectively collected data has been used in the evaluation of the methods presented. In papers 1 to 3 data from a prospective controlled study of 25 patients suffering from COPD. This study was completed between spring 2010 and summer 2011 at our local outpatient clinic. All patients gave their informed consent and the study was approved by the local Ethics Committee and in agreement with the declaration of Helsinki. In addition to this, in paper 1 analysis of retrospective and prospectively collected data was performed. In this section the measurements taken in the retrospective study used in all papers is further explained, with special focus on the data specific for the ALPE model.

2.1.2.1 Measurements
In summary, the following measurements were taken on all patients:

- Pulmonary HRCT (Discovery CT750HD, GE, USA)
- Whole body plethysmography (Master Screen Body Pletysmograph, Jaeger Medical, USA)
- DLCO (Master Screen PFT, Jaeger Medical, USA)
- ALPE (ALPE Essential, Mermaid Care A/S, Denmark)
  - Capnography (CO2SMO+, Respironics, USA)
  - Arterial blood gas (ABL725, Radiometer, Denmark)

The HRCT scan was conducted at a separate occurrence, typically the day following the visit to at the outpatient clinic, but within 48 hours of all other measurements. In accordance with the recommendations of Dirksen et al. [73] the same scanner was used for all patients, and a scanning protocol targeted at this study was used. The scans were all done with 1.25mm slice thickness, as is proposed for optimal COPD assessment quality [74, 75].
During a scheduled visit at the out-patient clinic, the standard clinical measures whole body plethysmography and DLCO were conducted for all patients. Here plethysmography, total lung volume, functional residual capacity, and standard spirometry was measured. DLCO was measured subsequently and the patient was allowed to rest for at least 5 minutes prior to the ALPE measurement, to ensure that CO was washed out properly.

To measure data for the three parameter ALPE model, the previously described ALPE2 implementation (see Figure 3.2) was used to record ventilatory volumes and oxygen-fractions, and to control the inspired oxygen level. The equipment was slightly modified in that a carbon dioxide monitor was slotted in with the airways adapter. This was done in order to allow recording of end-tidal CO$_2$ fractions and CO$_2$ production required by the mathematical model. The ALPE2 implementation and the capnograph were both connected to a laptop through standard RS-232 serial connection, from where all data were sampled with 100Hz. This allowed us to calculate all input parameters for the model using custom build software. In this setup, the automatic algorithm of ALPE2 to select and administer appropriate levels of inspired oxygen fraction was omitted and everything was controlled by the operator (Inspired gas levels, length of each oxygen level, and number of levels with different inspired oxygen fraction). During the initial FiO$_2$ step, an arterial blood gas sample was drawn from a. radialis, while the patient was breathing though the mouthpiece. The procedure continued hereafter without the patient being disconnected from the mouthpiece, and the blood gas sample was analyzed within minutes by an assistant.
2.2 Can the current ALPE equipment be used in the often unstable breathing patterns seen in these patients?

If ALPE is to be used in patients suffering from COPD, it is important that the mouthpiece and airway adapter produce minimal resistance and that duration of the measurement is as short as possible to avoid discomfort for the patient. Furthermore, the sub- or super-atmospheric inspired gas fractions need to be stable even though respiration patterns change widely. In this section selected results from paper 1 are presented in relation to these points.

2.2.1 Airway adapter
Introducing ALPE2 in patients suffering from COPD, it is important that the equipment does not hinder the normal spontaneous breathing of the patient, as this may trigger claustrophobia and cause the patient to discontinue the maneuver. The airway adapter of ALPE2 responsible for infusion of supplementary gas and measurement of flow and oxygen fraction (see Figure 3.2, inset) was designed with a low resistance to flow so as to make it comfortable for the patient. In the clinical trial using ALPE in patients suffering from COPD, 25 patients were included and all patients were able to complete the measurement procedure. During recording of data no complaints were received regarding claustrophobia or wishes to exit the procedure.

The duration of the maneuver may also be important in respect to patient comfort, with shorter periods of time at increased effort of breathing being beneficial. In paper 1 it was investigated how efficiently ALPE2 can select and administer appropriate levels of FiO₂. A rule-based automatic algorithm selects levels of FiO₂ and to evaluate the algorithm, 287 completed measurements of ALPE in 224 patients were investigated. The system selected and administered FiO₂ levels automatically and this resulted in an average duration of 7.2 ±2.4 minutes. In these patients the algorithm used on average 3.9 levels of FiO₂ to adequately describe the patient’s pulmonary gas exchange.
2.2.2 Gas-mixing
The changes in breathing patterns often associated with COPD could be a challenge for the gas-mixing system of ALPE2. It is important, that elevated or lowered inspired gas fractions are stable, so that a steady state can be reached at each level. This could prove difficult on variation of breathing pattern if the inspired fraction vary widely. The precision of the gas-mixing system in ALPE2 has been evaluated by investigating the standard deviation of 1332 delivered inspirations with an FiO₂ above or below 21% in 20 patients from the clinical study. This analysis was limit to 20 out of the 25 patients, as the necessary 100Hz sampled curvdata was unavailable in these patients. For each patient a number of FiO₂ steps were delivered and for each a standard deviation was calculated. The mean of the standard deviation across all delivered inspirations in all patients was 0.3%. Figure 8 illustrates an example of 5 different levels of FiO₂ in a patient, where the inspiratory volume is plotted against the oxygen fraction measured at the mouth. Each line in the figure represents an inspiration. The middle line shows the inspirations at 21% oxygen, where no supplementary gasses are added. The line thus represents data with no error due to gas mixing. At the beginning of the 21% inspiration the oxygen fraction equals the end-tidal value of the former expiration. The first flat part represents rebreathing the dead-space in the airway adapter and the slow rise up till 21% mixing of dead-space and fresh air combined with the impulse response of the chemical oxygen sensor in the system. After reaching 21% the curve flattens out and at this point the standard deviation can be measured. Following the flat part of the inspirations sub- and superatmospheric, a small overshoot of the infused gas is seen. That is a negative dip when nitrogen is infused and a positive peak when oxygen is infused.
2.2.3 Summary
This section has highlighted areas where introduction of ALPE in COPD could be challenging as seen from a technical perspective. A patient suffering from COPD may not tolerate a lengthy examination where their breathing is challenged by a restrictive mouthpiece. The low resistance airways adapter of ALPE2 and an examination period well under 10 minutes did not seem to challenge the 25 patients examined in our studies. Furthermore, the spontaneous variations in breathing patterns could be challenging when considering delivery of inspired gas fraction. If the inspired gas fraction is not stable it may be difficult to complete measurements at a steady state oxygen level. The gas mixing system of ALPE2 was shown to be able to deliver stable fractions in our study.
2.3 Is it possible to design a strategy for measurement and model fitting which does not require steady state conditions for oxygen?

As shown previously, oxygen can take 10-15 minutes to reach steady state in patients with COPD [69]. For ALPE to be a useful clinical tool in these patients it is necessary then to relax the constraint of using oxygen steady state data in estimating pulmonary gas exchange. This section presents and evaluates a method for using breath by breath oxygen data as input to the ALPE model. This method and the results are presented in paper 2 and this section is a summary of that paper.

2.3.1 Method for correction of breath by breath data to approximate ALPE data
The method of using breath by breath data to estimate pulmonary gas exchange parameters is presented here using a patient example, illustrated in Figure 9. Figure 9A, presents the results of an ALPE experiment using steady state data. This was described earlier on page 22. Circles represent measurements at steady state and are numbered in the order in which the oxygen steps were taken, and the curve the resulting model fit. Figure 9B illustrates the breath by breath data (crosses) plotted along with the steady state data (circles) again numbered according to their respective steps. It can be seen in these data (crosses) that pulse oximetry SpO₂ responds more slowly than end tidal O₂ levels. This is best exemplified when stepping from FiO₂ level 3 to 4, i.e. from the lowest to the highest level. Initially, FetO₂ increases with little change in SpO₂ (arrow marked A). Subsequently SpO₂ increases until at steady state point 4 is reached (arrow marked B).
To correct for this effect, the delay in SpO$_2$ can be estimated using a plot of SpO$_2$ and FiO$_2$ over time for the entirety of the experiment (Figure 10). Delay is estimated as the duration from the first change in FiO$_2$ (Figure 10, dashed vertical line labeled A) to the beginning of response of SpO$_2$ (Figure 10, dashed vertical line labeled B). In the case of the patient illustrated in Figure 9C and Figure 10 this delay was 28 seconds. Correction is then performed by shifting all SpO$_2$ values 28 seconds forward in time relative to FetO$_2$ values. The resulting corrected breath by breath data is shown on Figure 9C (crosses). The corrected data can then be used to estimate pulmonary gas exchange parameters, with estimated parameter values and a model simulated curve given in Figure 9C. When the model is fitted to breath by breath data, each breath is treated as a steady state measurement. The estimation of model parameter values was described earlier on page 22.

Strictly speaking it may not be correct to fit a steady state model to breath by breath data, although oxygen and carbon dioxide pressures are assumed to be in equilibrium end-tidal. However it is postulated here that, within experimental noise, calibrated breath by breath data follow the same curve as described by steady state measurements, and thus these can be assumed to be equivalent.
2.3.2 Comparison of results from normal steady state and breath by breath data

The method was evaluated in 14 patients suffering from COPD. This analysis was limited to 14 out of the 25 patients, due to necessary data was unavailable in some patients. The correction for delay in SpO₂ was performed for the 14 patients and the results are seen in table 2. To enable correction, it was necessary that a sufficiently large signal was seen in SpO₂ so as to determine the start of SpO₂ change from noise. In 6 of the 14 patients this was not the case for the first step change in FiO₂ and a subsequent step was therefore used. The average delay was 40.9 seconds, a value which is in accordance with the previously reported 30 seconds for finger probe pulse oximeters [76] where hyperthermia was absent.

Table 2: Estimated delay in pulse oximetry readings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>avg</th>
<th>std</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delay (s)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After delay of SpO$_2$ was estimated and corrected, the same mathematical model was fitted to the two types of data, i.e. normal steady state measurements and breath by breath measurements corrected for delay in SpO$_2$. Figure 11 and Figure 12 shows model fit to all 14 patients.
Figure 11: ALPE model fit and raw data in all patients. Part A shows the best model fit (curve) to data usually collected with ALPE (crosses). Part B shows the raw data (grey crosses) and points recorded by ALPE (black circles). Part C shows the best model fit (curve) for all breath by breath data points (grey crosses) corrected for delay in SpO₂.
Figure 12: ALPE model fit and raw data in all patients. Part A shows the best model fit (curve) to data usually collected with ALPE (crosses). Part B shows the raw data (grey crosses) and points recorded by ALPE (black circles). Part C shows the best model fit (curve) for all breath by breath data points (grey crosses) corrected for delay in SpO2.
To investigate if the parameters shunt and ΔPO₂ calculated using the two methods were equal within acceptance of clinical measurements, two Bland-Altman plots were drawn, see Figure 13. The mean difference (bias) for shunt is -0.4% with limits of agreement ranging from -3.0 to 2.2%. For ΔPO₂, the mean bias is 0.17 kPa with limits of agreement ranging from -0.47 to 0.81 kPa.

2.3.3 Summary
In summary, this section has presented a new and novel method that may allow oxygen to be used as a tracer in patients suffering from COPD, without the need for measurements at steady state. The principle of the method is to use breath by breath data, corrected for delay in SpO₂, instead of measurements achieved at oxygenation steady state. In doing so it is assumed that model inputs vary little during the procedure, which will be investigated further in the next section.

It has been shown that the delay of SpO₂ due to circulation time can be estimated from continuous SpO₂ curves, and that the estimated values are in line with literature. The comparison of parameters from best model fits to steady state data and breath by breath data where delay in SpO₂ was calibrated out, showed that the two method are, for practical purposes, equivalent.
2.4 Do the effects of hypoxic drive prevent the use of oxygen as a tracer in patients with COPD?

An assumption for using the novel method where delay in SpO$_2$ is corrected, is that the inputs of the model (VO$_2$, VCO$_2$, Vmin, Q, and acid-base status) vary little during the procedure. With the existing steady state method, the input for the model will be a mean value of the measurements made at each step in FiO$_2$, which for example could be the mean of four measurement of VO$_2$. If small variations in VO$_2$ occurs during the procedure, these will be averaged out. On the contrary when breath by breath data is used, only the measurements at the initial FiO$_2$ (atmospheric air) are used and thus variations in measurements during the procedure is not reflected in the input. The purpose of this section is to investigate whether the model input change during the measurement procedure and if these changes are significant for this application.

2.4.1 Method for validation of model input variation

As has been described earlier, an elevated hypoxic drive in patients suffering from COPD may mean that even minor changes in FiO$_2$ change ventilation. To investigate this, two factors were examined: changes in Vmin and FetCO$_2$ were explored on lowering FiO$_2$ to evaluate the possibility of increase respiratory drive on lowering FiO$_2$ and to assess whether acid-base status was changed. Another mechanism that could be responsible for changes in respiration is stress of the procedure and this could cause changes in patients’ metabolism. Therefore changes in VO$_2$ were also explored on lowering FiO$_2$ to assess possibility of stress-related changes in metabolism, or other factors.

To assess a possible change in the three parameters as a result of changes in FiO$_2$, the difference between the steady state measurements at the initial 21% level and at the lowest 15% level (16% for one patient) was compared. This comparison is summarized in Figure 14.
2.4.2 Evaluation of changes in model inputs

Figure 14 illustrates changes in $\dot{V}_{\text{min}}$ ($\Delta \dot{V}_{\text{min}}$), end tidal $\text{CO}_2$ ($\Delta \text{etCO}_2$) and $\dot{V}_{\text{O}_2}$ ($\Delta \dot{V}_{\text{O}_2}$) on lowering $\text{FiO}_2$ during the procedure. The difference is calculated as the initial value (21%) minus the lowest value (15%). The average values, marked as vertical lines on Figure 14, were $\Delta \dot{V}_{\text{min}}$ 0.78L/min, $\Delta \text{etCO}_2$ 0.09kPa and $\Delta \dot{V}_{\text{O}_2}$ -0.03L/min.

![Figure 14: Change in steady state measurements of $\dot{V}_{\text{min}}$ (Part A), et$\text{CO}_2$ (Part B) and $\dot{V}_{\text{O}_2}$ (Part C) on lowering $\text{FiO}_2$. Measured as difference from initial steady state at 21% inspired oxygen to steady state at 18% and 15% (16% for one patient). Average difference is shown with a vertical stripped line, and where: $\Delta \dot{V}_{\text{min}}$ 0.78L/min, $\Delta \text{etCO}_2$ 0.098kPa and $\Delta \dot{V}_{\text{O}_2}$ -0.03L/min.](image-url)
2.4.3 Summary

To investigate if the assumption that inputs for the model are constant during the ALPE procedure, changes in \( \dot{V}_{\text{min}} \) and \( \text{FetCO}_2 \) and \( \dot{V}_{\text{O}_2} \) were evaluated. It was seen for all, that the input variables changes during the procedure, and this will be discussed in the context of appropriate usage of oxygen as a tracer in the discussion (Section 3.3). Also in that section, possible causes for changes in the remaining model inputs will be discussed.
2.5 How can ALPE measurements be integrated with other measurements to provide an integrated clinical picture of the lungs, and if so can such a description provide similar classifications as radiologic descriptions of the lungs?

ALPE may provide a functional description of the lung in patients suffering from COPD as seen in figure 7 section 2.1. For this to be useful in clinical practice it should be investigated if combining the ALPE description of pulmonary gas exchange with other readily available measurements could result in an improved description of disease. Therefore, a combined description of the lung, integrating ALPE with clinically readily available data, will be presented in this section. The methods and results from paper 3 are presented here.

Several causal mechanisms can be postulated which link radiological, physiologic and clinical data. For instance, airway disease presenting on computed tomography (CT) as airway remodeling and bronchial wall thickening is more likely to cause sputum production than emphysema [77]. Similarly, using data from CT, emphysema can be described by grade, location and size of bullae, with each of these classifications perhaps related causally to profiles of pulmonary gas exchange, lung mechanics or clinical parameters. Causal Probabilistic Networks (CPN) allows causal reasoning to be integrated with measurements in a model [78] and has been shown applicable in other fields [79, 80]. In this section, a CPN model is formulated from causal relations and as a proof of concept, the model is used to categorize patient cases in four well defined situations: Small airway disease; emphysema with diffuse central bullae; emphysema with concentrated central bullae; and emphysema with diffuse peripheral bullae.

2.5.1 Causal probabilistic network for classification of COPD
The constructed causal probabilistic network is seen in Figure 16. In constructing the network a number of assumptions regarding causal relations between disease patterns, physiological concepts and clinical measurements were made. The most important of these will be mentioned, following an overall description of
the network. However, firstly, a short introduction to the basics and construction of causal probabilistic networks is provided.

2.5.1.1 Causal probabilistic networks
A causal probabilistic network is designed and constructed from nodes and links. The nodes represent explanations/hypothesis or probable causes, and they describe two or more states. For instance, presence of airway disease in a patient can be represented with the states yes or no. The link between nodes is defined by conditional probabilities. In the example of airway disease presence in a patient, a link could exist to a node describing patients’ sputum production i.e. productive cough binary in two stages, yes or no. Here, the link will be a table of conditional probabilities, where presence of productive cough will have a higher conditional probability of airway disease being the cause than no productive cough. In a simple network, a third node could represent emphysema with two stages, yes or no. In the link from emphysema to productive cough the conditional probabilities would be so that, presence of productive cough has a much lower likelihood of being causing by emphysema than by airway disease. To illustrate this is example, the described network is seen in figure 15. Here, the three nodes along with their states are seen, and their causal relations. In this example, both airways disease and emphysema can be the cause of productive cough, but as was will be explained in details shortly, the differences in conditional probabilities favors airway disease as the cause. As a general rule for this type of network in a clinical domain, going from the bottom and up is towards diagnosis, i.e. the cause of the disease. Going from the top and down, is moving towards the symptoms caused by the disease, measurable by for instance productive cough.
Behind the graphical model in figure 15 showing the causal relations and states, are the conditional probability tables, where the actual knowledge about the domain is entered. For the above described case, table 3 shows the conditional probabilities for the node Productive Cough.
To illustrate the usage of the table, we can look at the situation where productive cough is present. This is marked by ‘Yes’ in the first column and thus the third row shows the probabilities for the four different combinations of presence/no presence of emphysema and airway disease. In this example here, presence of both emphysema and airway disease has a probability of 1 that productive cough is present. Airway disease alone is believed to cause productive cough in 95% of cases and emphysema alone only in 5% of cases. None of the diseases present causes no productive cough.

Dependent on which information/evidence is available, the network can be updated either in the direction of diagnosis or symptoms. If for instance, a patient has productive cough, and this is entered in the network, then the probability of airway disease being the cause will increase to 98% (in the case of this network). But information can also propagate the other direction. If it is known, that a certain patient has airway disease, then the probability that the patient also has productive cough will increase and from this, the probability of presence of emphysema decrease (as we are quiet certain productive cough is presence and this not often caused by emphysema). In this way, evidence is said to propagate through the network and this is the fundamental method of the causal network.

Table 3: Conditional probability table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airway disease</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emphysema</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5.1.2 Constructed causal network

In the top layer of the network (A) the four nodes describe the pathoanatomy of a patient suffering from COPD. The two nodes Airway Disease (A1) and Emphysema (A2) describe the main disease categories of COPD and these are staged in four severities; none, mild, moderate, and severe. The nodes Bullae Type (A3) and Central Emphysema (A4) are only considered when emphysema is the main cause of disease, i.e. when the most possible cause of disease is emphysema. Bullae Type (A3) describes the distribution of parenchymal destruction in the lungs, being either diffusely spread or concentrated in regions. Central Emphysema (A4) described the presence of emphysema in the central regions of the lungs.

The two main categories emphysema and airway disease were chosen, as studies have shown that present and extend of both airway disease and emphysema can be found reliably from CT scans [82, 83]. It is also well

Figure 16: The causal probabilistic network, from [81] with permission. The network is divided into three horizontal layers, A, B, and C, with directed arrows showing causal relation explained further in the text. The network includes three layers describing the pathoanatomy of COPD based on CT/HRCT (A), intermediate physiological concepts (B) and non-invasive clinical measurements (C), with details of the individual variables given in the text.
known that both disease patterns contribute independently to airflow obstruction in COPD [84]. The pattern emphysema distribution, i.e. Bullae Type, is assumed to affect $\dot{V}A/Q$ distributions in the lung differently. If emphysema is diffusely spread across the lungs with small bullae, then these areas will typically be cyclically ventilated during breathing with ventilation typically exceeding perfusion resulting in high $\dot{V}A/Q$. In contrast, if emphysema is concentrated in regions of the lung, either as large bullae or dense areas of small bullae, cyclic ventilation will not be sufficient to replenish these relatively large areas of gas with fresh air and they will present either as regions of the lung with neither ventilation nor perfusion, or if perfused, as regions with low $\dot{V}A/Q$. Centrally located emphysema has been shown to cause major changes in FEV1% reductions [85]. This is in contrast to peripheral emphysema which is usually assumed [86] to cause only minor reduction in FEV1%. In this situation the site of parenchymal destruction is at the most distal parts of the bronchial tree which are not usually assumed to empty during the first second of forced expiration, even in health [13].

In the middle layer (B) variables describe intermediate physiological concepts of the network. The node Gas Exchange (B1) describes the causal effects of Airway Disease (A1) and Emphysema (A2) on the patient’s pulmonary gas exchange. The node Emphysema Potential High $\dot{V}A/Q$ (B3) describes whether emphysema, on the basis of the previously discussed distribution of parenchymal destruction (A3), introduces areas of high $\dot{V}A/Q$ in the lung. The combination of high $\dot{V}A/Q$ caused by Airway Disease (A1) and potentially from Emphysema (B3) is described by node B2. The node Emphysema Effect on FEV1 (B4) describes, by using the previously discussed Central Emphysema (A4), whether emphysema contributes to airway obstruction.

In the bottom layer (C) the variables describe non-invasive clinical measurements used to instantiate the network. The causal nature of the network dictates that the top row contains the causes and the bottom row the effects, i.e. the measured clinical variables. The variables are Productive Cough (C1), $\Delta \text{PO}_2$ (C2), $\Delta \text{PCO}_2$ (C3),
and FEV1%(C4). Assessment of cough and measurement of FEV1% are standard clinical measurements in diagnosing COPD and ΔPO2/ΔPCO2 are measured with ALPE.

2.5.2 Clinical data for the network and assessment of HRCT

This section describes the clinical measurements used as input for the network and the High Resolution Computed Tomography (HRCT) datasets used for comparison in four patients with different radiologic representations of COPD. The four patients represent the four patients also shown in Figure 7.

The inputs for the network are summarized in Table 4. Information regarding productive cough was available from the standard COPD-anamnesis. FEV1% was measured as previously described, ΔPO2 and ΔPCO2 was measured using ALPE. The best fit of the mathematical model of ALPE for the four patients are seen in Figure 7.

Table 4: Clinical measurements used in the network in the four patient cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case #</th>
<th>Cough (yes/no)</th>
<th>ΔPO2 (kPa)</th>
<th>ΔPCO2 (kPa)</th>
<th>FEV1% (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As part of the comparison, patients underwent a HRCT scan within 48 hours of all other measurements. Each scan was assessed independently by three experienced physicians, two radiologists and a pulmonologist. The physicians were blinded to any details about the patients. The assessment was inspired Aziz et al. [85], where evaluation of texture, core/rind distribution, grade and type was performed. Before the assessment the methods were presented to physicians, including visual reference material adapted from the COPDGene group [83]. Emphysema was graded for the entire lung and for the three regions (above carina, between carina and inferior pulmonary vein, and below inferior pulmonary vein), with the primary site of parenchymal destruction.
being either central or peripheral. Presence of airway disease was evaluated as bronchial wall thickening, as changes in bronchioles and bronchi are a representative measure of airway disease from CT [83].

2.5.3 Classification of patients

The four patient cases, with their clinical data shown Table 4, are presented here as they were described in paper 3. The cases are: emphysema with central diffuse bullae, emphysema with central concentrated bullae, emphysema with peripheral diffuse bullae, and airway disease.

2.5.3.1 Case one – emphysema with central diffuse bullae

This patient was a 75 year old female and former smoker with 50 pack years (a pack-year is an average of 1 pack of cigarette smoked per day for a year). The lung function test showed FEV1% of 46 and FEV1/FVC < 0.7, severe COPD according to GOLD diagnostic classification [87]. Body Mass Index (BMI) was 21 kg/m\(^2\) and there were no known co-morbidities. The patient’s main complaint was dyspnea and MRC-score was 4. The patient had an arterial oxygen saturation (SaO\(_2\)) of 96% at rest, and the distance of 6 minute walk test (6MWT) was 180 meters.

Network description

The clinical measurements are instantiated into the network (Figure 17, Case 1) as no productive cough, mild \(\Delta PO_2\), mild \(\Delta PCO_2\), and severe FEV1%. These propagate through the network and describe the patient in the following way: suffering from emphysema with moderate to severe grade. The bullae type is diffuse and central emphysema is present.

Radiologic description

An illustrative example from the CT data set for this patient is seen in Figure 17, Case 1. The radiologists described the primary presentation of the disease as severe emphysema with bullae diffusely spread in lungs, including the central regions.
2.5.3.2 Case two – Emphysema with central concentrated bullae

This patient was a 70 year old male and former smoker with 100 pack years. The lung function test showed FEV1% of 67 and FEV1/FVC < 0.7, moderate COPD according to GOLD classification. BMI was 30 kg/m² and there were no known co-morbidities. The patient’s main complaint was dyspnea and MRC-score was 3. The patient had an SaO₂ of 93% at rest, and the 6MWT distance was 490 meters.

Network description

The clinical measurements are instantiated into the network (Figure 17, Case 2) as severe ΔPO₂, no ΔPCO₂ problem, and moderate FEV1%. Productive cough was not instantiated in this case. These propagate through the network and describe the patient in the following way: suffering from emphysema with a moderate grade. The bullae type is concentrated and central emphysema is present. Productive cough is predicted present.

Radiologic description

An illustrative example from the CT data set is seen in Figure 17, Case 2. The radiologists described the primary presentation of the disease as moderate emphysema with primarily concentrated bullae in the central regions of the lungs.
Case 1: Emphysema with central diffuse bullae

Figure 17: Patient cases 1 and 2 with network description (left) and CT scan (right), from [81] with permission. In the network, the input from clinical data is marked in the bottom row with bold text and gray color. In the top row the outcome with the highest probability from the network is marked with bold text and gray color. In the CT scan, a dotted line is used to divide the left lung into a central and a peripheral part. This line is according to Aziz et al. [85], i.e. placed at 0.7 times radius of the lung, resulting in equal areas on each side of the line. CT scans are presented with window width 800 HU and level -800 HU.

2.5.3.3 Case three – Emphysema with peripheral diffuse bullae

This patient was a 52 year old female and current smoker with 35 pack years. The lung function test showed FEV1% of 93 and FEV1/FVC = 0.7, not categorized as suffering from COPD according to GOLD classification (FEV1% should be below 80% and FEV1/FVC below 0.7). BMI was 20 kg/m² and there were no known co-morbidities. The patient’s main complaint was dyspnea and MRC-score was 2. The patient had an SaO₂ of 94% at rest, and the 6MWT distance was 400 meters.
Network description

The clinical measurements are instantiated into the network (Figure 18, Case 3) as no productive cough, severe $\Delta PO_2$, mild $\Delta PCO_2$, and normal $FEV1\%$. These propagate through the network and describe the patient in the following way: suffering from emphysema with moderate to severe grade. The bullae type is diffuse and emphysema is absent in the central regions of the lungs.

Radiologic description

An illustrative example from the CT data set is seen in Figure 18, Case 3. The radiologists described the primary presentation of the disease as moderate emphysema, diffusely spread mainly in the peripheral regions of the lungs.

2.5.3.4 Case four - Airway disease

This patient was a 53 year old male and former smoker with 30 pack years. The lung function test showed $FEV1\%$ of 20 and $FEV1/FVC < 0.7$, very severe COPD according to GOLD classification. BMI was 27 kg/m$^2$ and there were no known co-morbidities. The patient’s main complaint was dyspnea and MRC-score was 5. The patient had an $SaO_2$ of 93% at rest, and the 6MWT distance was 300 meters.

Network description

The clinical measurements are instantiated in the network (Figure 18, Case 4) as productive cough, mild $\Delta PO_2$, mild $\Delta PCO_2$ and very severe $FEV1\%$. These propagate through the network and describe the patient as suffering from severe airway disease.

Radiologic description

An illustrative example from the CT data set is seen in Figure 18, Case 4. The radiologists describe the patient as suffering from severe airway disease.
2.5.4 Summary

The functional lung description by ALPE has been integrated into a causal probabilistic network together with measurement of FEV1% and information of productive cough. The constructed network uses causal assumption to relate airway disease and emphysema with the clinical measures. The main assumptions are: grading severity of disease is a combination of mechanical obstruction (FEV1%) and oxygenation problems ($\Delta P_{O_2}$); airway disease and emphysema given diffuse bullae introduce high $V_{A}/Q$ in the patients. Emphysema mainly affects FEV1% when it is present centrally. With four descriptive patient cases, the network was shown to classify patients according to their radiologic assessment.
3 Discussion

The diagnosis and classification of COPD can be seen as the difficult task of describing a heterogeneous disease affecting a complex organ, using relatively simple measurements. Combining these measurements and thus describing the impact of disease on the lung and its functional capacity can provide additional information enabling the clinician to succeed in this task. In this context, this thesis has investigated the clinical description of pulmonary gas exchange abnormalities, the current standard (DLCO), the reference experimental technique (MIGET), and a new alternative (ALPE). It has been described how DLCO simplifies the well-known intrapulmonary causes of hypoxaemia (VA/Q mismatch, shunt, O2 diffusion) into only one parameter and how in contrast MIGET has shown that VA/Q mismatch is the major contributor to hypoxaemia in COPD and furthermore that different patterns of VA/Q mismatch exist in different patients. Unfortunately MIGET is not applicable in daily clinical practice. A contribution of this thesis is thus, highlighting the need for a more comprehensive and physiological sound description of pulmonary gas exchange in daily clinical practice. In the light of this, ALPE may offer “the happy medium” as the method is clinical applicable and can describe VA/Q mismatch (and shunt) [89].

The ALPE system was originally published in 2002 and has been used in clinical studies to describe patients with ALI/ARDS and in pre- and post-operative environments. ALPE has been implemented in a version for spontaneously breathing patients (ALPE2), which is approved for clinical use, but has never been applied in COPD. Another contribution of this thesis has been the description of the currently available versions of ALPE and the included mathematical models, and from this identifying challenges in relation to applying ALPE in COPD. In short, these challenges are: Description of high VA/Q, measurement of reliable data in unstable breathing, relaxing the constraint of measurement at steady state, and use of oxygen as a tracer in patients with hypoxic drive.
To investigate the possibility of integrating ALPE into the daily diagnostic process of managing COPD, the quantification of $V_{A}/Q_{m}$ mismatch measured by ALPE has been integrated with other clinical data in a causal network. In doing so, it is hoped to target classification of sub-disease available primarily from CT, but using simpler measurements.

In the following section the findings from the three papers presented in the thesis will be discussed in turn. The findings are related to the questions listed in the aims of the thesis and the following sections are organized to address these questions as follow: “What complexity is necessary to describe pulmonary gas exchange in COPD?”, “Can measurement at steady state be relaxed?”, “Can oxygen be used as a tracer in COPD?”, and “Can radiologic profiles be classified using both ALPE and other routine measurements in a causal network”.

### 3.1 What complexity is necessary to describe pulmonary gas exchange in COPD?

DLCO is the clinical standard for measuring pulmonary gas exchange abnormalities in COPD and has been the focus of many studies. Correlations have been shown between DLCO and functional capacity [90, 91], dyspnea [92], post-operative risk [93], and survival [94]. DLCO is used to grade the severity of COPD and among other uses for finding candidates for lung reduction surgery [95] and for differentiating COPD from asthma [96]. Although DLCO is well established in clinical practice, the measurement is a lumped description of $V_{A}/Q_{m}$ mismatch, pulmonary shunt and $O_2$ diffusion. This means that important information is unavailable for the clinician. The experimental reference technique for describing pulmonary gas exchange, MIGET, has shown that in COPD the main reason for hypoxemia is $V_{A}/Q_{m}$ mismatch, and that neither shunt nor diffusion limitations contribute significantly [25]. Furthermore, studies has shown that different patterns of $V_{A}/Q_{m}$ mismatch exist, and thus a one-parameter quantification will fail to describe these variations. MIGET is however a time consuming experimental approach, and although efforts has been made to further simplify the technique (MMIMS-MIGET [97]), it remains an experimental tool.
The focus in this thesis, and in the presented papers, has therefore been the application of a method simpler than MIGET but with the ability to describe \( \dot{V}A/\dot{Q} \) mismatch using a mathematical physiological model. This model, the mathematical model used in ALPE, has previously been applied in severely ill patients in the ICU and in pre- and post-operative patients. In these patients the impairment of gas exchange can be explained by shunt and low \( \dot{V}A/\dot{Q} \). A previous effort has included transport and storage of \( CO_2 \) into the model [54]. This enables identification of the third parameter in the model and thus description of high \( \dot{V}A/\dot{Q} \). In this thesis, this three parameter model has been used in patients suffering from COPD. This has included decisions on inspired oxygen levels during measurement, calculations of model-input from curve data, and adjustments of least-square weights in the fitting routine. The model has been used both with data measured at steady state and with the proposed method using breath by breath data corrected for delay in \( SpO_2 \). In all cases the model was able to fit data and provide measures of shunt, low \( \dot{V}A/\dot{Q} \) and high \( \dot{V}A/\dot{Q} \) in this group of patients.

It has also been possible to show, that with the equipment (ALPE2+capnograph) measurements could be made in these patients, indicating that the added airway resistance is tolerable and that the examination time is not too long. The gas mixing capability of the system was shown to provide stable inspired oxygen fractions in the range 15% to 30%. These results are essential as they show that reliable data for the mathematical model of ALPE can be measured even in COPD.

The ALPE model quantifies low and high \( \dot{V}A/\dot{Q} \) as the measures \( \Delta PO_2 \) and \( \Delta PCO_2 \), respectively. An assumption here, however, has been that the two parameter quantification of low and high \( \dot{V}A/\dot{Q} \) is comparable to the 50 compartment description used with MIGET. This represents the necessary trade-off between complexity and usability; as the model ALPE is designed with clinical usage in mind, the experimental procedure only includes simple clinical available date. As was described earlier, the ALPE model has been shown to reproduce retention-excretion data from MIGET and to describe oxygenation in a comparative study, albeit in a rather
homogeneous model of lung damage. Therefore, the ALPE model might represent a good alternative to MIGET, for the clinical setting.

The results of using ALPE may provide a deeper understanding of the patient, but will also have similarities to DLCO. The quantification of low $V_A/Q$ will most likely correlate well with DLCO, as low $V_A/Q$ mainly is responsible for oxygenation problems and DLCO has been shown to correlate well with hypoxemia. The high $V_A/Q$ quantification, is less likely to correlate with DLCO. In future research, it could be interesting to assess how ALPE quantification correlates with DLCO and other clinical parameters often used in the diagnosis of COPD. Ultimately, an analysis exploring predictive value of for instance mortality would be very interesting, but these studies require the method to be accepted and implemented at multiple centers.

It is the author’s belief, that description of pulmonary gas exchange using a mathematical model approach can aid the clinician in diagnosing and treating patients suffering from COPD. In the case of ALPE, the mathematical model may allow the clinician to learn more about the underlying cause of the patient’s disease. As is discussed later, this could, for example, be used to categorize patients according to their radiologic profile. In addition ALPE may have certain practical advantages compared to DLCO. The measurement procedure of ALPE requires the patient to breathe normally through a mouth piece for up to 10 minutes. DLCO requires at least two consecutive successful measurements, with both rapid inspiration and breath holding at volumes close to vital capacity for 8 to 12 seconds, and there after constant exhalation to clear anatomic and equipment dead space [98]. Even though ALPE takes longer than DLCO, it may be both less stressful for the patient and providing more information.

### 3.2 Can measurement at steady state in COPD be relaxed?

The mathematical model of ALPE applies assumptions of steady state oxygenation, continuous ventilation and perfusion, and that end-tidal and mixed alveolar gases are equal. During the parameter estimation procedure,
inspired oxygen fractions are changed in steps, and when oxygenation steady state is reached, a measurement is taken. In the ALPE2 implementation, oxygenation steady state is defined as a plateau in end-tidal oxygen fractions, which typically occurs after 1 to 2 minutes. The assumption here is that transport of oxygen across the alveolar membrane reaches an equilibrium and that end-tidal oxygen fraction can be used as a measure for alveolar equilibrium fraction. In COPD however, 1 to 2 minutes might not be sufficient to reach steady state, as a recent study has shown – co-authored by myself but outside the scope of this thesis - that up to 15 minutes should be waited following a change in inspired oxygen before arterial oxygen saturation and pressure is in equilibrium [69]. This thesis has investigated whether the constraint of measuring model inputs at steady state can be relaxed by using breath per breath measurements.

In the second paper, a novel method was postulated where delay in \( \text{SpO}_2 \) due to circulation time is estimated and calibrated out. This method results in dataset of \( \text{FetO}_2 \) versus \( \text{SpO}_2 \) pairs that follow an ALPE curve. The calibrated dataset with the mathematical model of ALPE was used in 14 patients, and Bland-Altman analysis showed that the identified parameter values are equal to those obtained using steady state data in the same patients. Bias and limits of agreement were small for both pulmonary shunt and \( \Delta \text{PO}_2 \). This means that the two methods give similar clinical picture of the patients’ pulmonary gas exchange. Though it may not be strictly correct to fit a steady state model to breath by breath data, the comparison performed in paper 2 showed that within experimental noise, calibrated breath by breath data follow the same curve as described by steady state measurements. This indicated, that measurement at steady state may not be necessary, in patients suffering from COPD.

The basic principle of the method is in calibrating out the delay in \( \text{SpO}_2 \) due to circulation. This allows per breath matching of \( \text{SpO}_2 \) and end-tidal oxygen fraction. For each patient the delay has been estimated retrospectively and only one delay was estimated and used per patient. It has been assumed, that the delay is
patient specific and that the delay does not change during the measurement procedure. It is thought that
circulation time of arterial blood from the pulmonary capillaries to the point of measurement and sensor
averaging are the main reasons for delay, as this has been reported earlier [99, 100]. It is well-known, that
vasoconstriction can affect the circulation time [101] and that local hypothermia contributes to this effect [76].
For all patients measured with ALPE in this PhD project, SpO\textsubscript{2} has been measured at the finger tip, where
hypothermia more likely occurs than at the ear or the patient’s forehead. The changes in inspired oxygen
fraction during the measurement with ALPE is not though to induce changes to the local vasoconstriction or to
the averaging in the sensors. On a further note, it could thus be interesting to evaluate the method using delay
in SpO\textsubscript{2} measurements from the forehead, as this may give a better matching of SpO\textsubscript{2} and end-tidal oxygen
fraction. Another contributor to the circulation time is cardiac output. It is however a limitation of this study
that cardiac output was not measured. Cardiac output has been approximated per patient using a cardiac index
of 2.8 [102, 103] and body surface area calculated from height and weight using DuBois formula [104, 105].

The delay in SpO\textsubscript{2} is determined from identifying a response in SpO\textsubscript{2} to an increase or decrease in inspired
oxygen. The change in oxygen is thus required to result in a change in oxygen saturation larger than the
resolution of the SpO\textsubscript{2} measurement (typically 1%) and should also be larger than 2 standard deviations
(typically 2% [106]). Dependent on the patient, the typical decrease of 3% oxygen from the initial 21% to 18%,
may not result in a decrease in SpO\textsubscript{2} of more than 2%. This was the case in 6 out of the 14 patients in this study
and the delay was determined from subsequent reductions in inspired oxygen. It is a limitation of this method
that the change in inspired oxygen needs to be sufficiently large, as it is difficult prior to the change to foresee
how the patient reacts. To aid in selecting an appropriate inspired oxygen fraction that results in at least 2%
change in saturation, the Bayesian method for choosing FiO\textsubscript{2} proposed by Murley et al. [107] and described in
paper 1 could be used. Based on the \textit{a priori} knowledge of the specific group of patients and the current
inspired oxygen level, this method advises on appropriate levels using the ALPE model.
In summary, it has been shown that the proposed breath by breath method can be applied with the mathematical model of ALPE, and that calculated parameters compare well with the steady state method. Using the breath by breath method it is assumed that model inputs remain constant during the procedure. This assumption and the theory behind the use of oxygen as a tracer will be discussed in the following section.

3.3 Can oxygen be used as a tracer in COPD?
To be able to describe pulmonary gas exchange, ALPE uses changes in inspired oxygen to estimate parameters of the mathematical model, meaning that oxygen is used as a tracer. As has been discussed previously, an appropriate tracer is one that does not perturb the system it is used to investigate.

ALPE and the new method presented in paper 2 for using breath by breath data, apply the assumption that model inputs (VO₂, VCO₂, ẋₘᵢᵣᵣ, ẛ, and acid-base status) remain constant for all FiO₂ levels. This relies on the assumption oxygen is a good tracer, i.e. the values of the model do not change in varying FiO₂. When using the steady state method it is possible to measure model inputs following each change in inspired oxygen and in the end use a mean value of the measurements to minimize the inherent variability in the data. When using the breath by breath method the model inputs must be measured at the initial oxygen level. To evaluate whether it is reasonable to assume these input values to be constant during the ALPE measurement procedure, changes in ẋₘᵢᵣᵣ, ẇₜₜₜ and VO₂ were explored on lowering FiO₂. Results showed that, ẋₘᵢᵣᵣ systematically increased by, on average 0.8 l/min on reducing FiO₂ from 21% to 15%, end-tidal CO₂ decreased on average less than 0.1 kPa and dVO₂ decreased on average 30ml. This change in ẋₘᵢᵣᵣ is clinically relevant and is perhaps due to an increase in respiratory drive on lowering oxygen. It is noticeable however, that this resulted in very little change in end tidal CO₂ (< 0.1kPa) and minor differences in estimated model parameters. It is important to note that the majority of patients studied here had FEV1% levels in the more moderate range of COPD. Four, however, were classified as having severe COPD according to the GOLD criteria and no differences were seen in these compared to patients with less severe disease.
A limitation to the investigation of changes in V̇min, FetCO₂ and VO₂ is that it was only performed during decreases in inspired oxygen. An analysis showing the effect of increases in oxygen could also be relevant, as COPD patients with hypoxic drive are known to decrease ventilation substantially at higher inspiratory levels [108, 109]. The increasing oxygen fraction should be from a well-defined steady state, i.e. atmospheric air, and as this was the case for only one of our included patient, this investigation was not performed.

As has been described earlier, changes in inspired oxygen may cause redistribution of pulmonary blood flow by HPV. This could potentially have an impact on the model description of V̇A/Q mismatch if local perfusion changes during the measurement procedure. HPV is mediated by a lowering of alveolar oxygen tension [110] and previously, studies has shown significant effect on pulmonary perfusion distribution in clamped lungs [111]. In a study of HPV in diseased animal lungs, it was shown that with maximal effect of HPV (maximal HPV response versus inhibited response), pulmonary shunt changed 13% [112]. HPV can evidently alter pulmonary perfusion, but with the focus on ALPE it has not yet clearly been shown how the – in comparison to clamped studies and maximal HPV studies – relatively small changes in end-tidal oxygen tension affects pulmonary perfusion. It is likely, that the effect on pulmonary gas exchange is less significant with smaller changes and end-tidal FiO₂ near or above 10kPa. The effect on pulmonary perfusion of an ALPE measurement, has been evaluated as part of a PhD project running in parallel with this. In a submitted paper, it was investigated how mean arterial blood pressure and cardiac output measured with pulmonary arterial catheters changed during an ALPE manoeuvre. It was concluded that, the procedure is safe, as both pressures and cardiac output returned to the initial state immediately following the procedure. Furthermore, across the clinical range of reductions in FiO₂ the average, non-significant change in pulmonary arterial pressure was merely 4 mmHg. This can be considered as a quiet small change, and as a comparison studies in exercise has shown changes in the magnitude of 13 to 21 mmHg [113]. Supporting these finding are computer simulation studies [114, 115] and studies with MIGET [116, 117], where small changes in model parameter where following changes in FiO₂.
The use of oxygen as a tracer for describing pulmonary gas exchange has been investigated further in this PhD thesis. It should however also be recognized that other authors have also considered the use of oxygen as a tracer to describe pulmonary gas exchange or have been aiming to describe pulmonary gas exchange with minimal steady state models, with recent publications from these groups including Rowe et al. [118] and Melo et al. [119]. The question whether oxygen perturbs the system, i.e changes the underlying physiology of the lung was raised here, and the answer must be yes to some extent. It has been argued here, that for the application of ALPE these changes are minor and that the parameters of the mathematical model changed little. This leads to the conclusion, that oxygen may be a useful tracer in investigating gas exchange in patients suffering from COPD.

3.4 Can radiologic profiles be classified using both ALPE and other routine measurements in a causal network?

In paper three the integration of ALPE with other clinical measures was investigated. The main contribution from this paper, is that the constructed causal network may adequately describe radiological profiles of CT scans. It was shown that a causal probabilistic network can be built from causal relations between disease subtypes and clinical measures. The input for the network is presence of productive cough, quantification of low $\dot{V}a/\dot{Q} (\Delta P_{O2})$, quantification of high $\dot{V}a/\dot{Q} (\Delta P_{CO2})$, and airway limitation (FEV1%). The CPN was validated in four typical and distinct patterns of COPD, showing this network could adequately describe the radiological profile in these CT scans. Furthermore, potential was shown to use the network to distinguish between peripheral and central bullae locations of emphysema, which cause different reductions in FEV1% and to separate small bullae from large bullae subtypes of emphysema, in these cases.

To the authors’ knowledge, this is the first attempt to use a CPN to combine clinical, physiological and radiological data in the description of COPD. If reproducible this may have several important consequences. CT and HRCT is expensive, places the patient at radiation risk, and is not available in all institutions. The use of a
system such as that presented here may therefore enable more targeted application of HRCT. This might be seen as replacing the need for HRCT, but more likely as an additional diagnostic tool to monitor disease progression where frequent repeated HRCT would be problematic because of radiation exposure. This could for instance be monitoring of emphysema extend in patients with alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency, where CT is frequently used [120]. In addition, several studies have investigated COPD phenotypes from a combination of clinical, physiological and CT data, with patients evaluated iteratively including repeated HRCT [22, 23]. A clinical system which can translate the results of such studies to everyday practice without the need for repeated CT evaluation, as performed in the studies, may therefore be useful as new understanding of COPD phenotypes presents. The need for a clinical system like this was recognized and requested by James C. Hogg in the Lancet as a conclusion on specific treatment of emphysema and airway disease [121]:

“This step will require precise, safe, non-invasive quantitative methods of diagnosis that will allow both the airway-obstructive and emphysema phenotypes to serve as measurable endpoints in clinical trials.”

However, before this can be considered appropriate for clinical use, there are limitations which require addressing. The network was designed to classify COPD into the primary components airway disease or emphysema, however it is known that both subtypes can occur simultaneously [84, 122]. In addition, this proof of concept study has focused on the technological possibility of this approach. While it has been shown that the CPN-model give a nice description of four well defined cases, it is clear that a much large patient group is required for adequate validation. Further patient’s cases would allow validation of both the overall comparison of model results with CT and the causal mechanisms included in the model.
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Summary

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is a major respiratory disease that is characterized by progressive and irreversible decline in lung function. This leads to high rates of morbidity and mortality, and COPD is predicted to be the fourth most likely cause of death in 2030. The diagnosis and classification of COPD can be seen as the difficult task of describing a heterogeneous disease affecting a complex organ, using relatively simple measurements. Traditionally, diagnosis of COPD has been based on patients’ expiratory efforts measured by spirometry and graded in four stages. This however often fails to describe the heterogeneity of disease, why clinicians use additional diagnostic tools.

In the first part of this thesis, the currently available tools for diagnosis of COPD has been described and evaluated. Special interest has been taken in tools for description of pulmonary gas exchange abnormalities, as it is the authors’ believe alternative solutions are needed. With the reference experimental technique for assessing pulmonary gas exchange, the Multiple Inert Gas Elimination Technique (MIGET), it has been shown that mismatch of pulmonary ventilation and perfusion is the major contributor to oxygenation problems in COPD. The currently clinically available single breath diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO) however fails to describe this property, as it is lumped together with other possible causes diffusion resistance and intra pulmonary shunt. As MIGET is an experimental technique and thus not suitable for routine clinical practice, other tools could be interesting. In this light, investigation of whether a new technique capable of describing $\dot{V}a/Q$ mismatch from clinical available measurement is applicable in COPD, has been the main topic of this thesis.

The Automatic Lung Parameter Estimator (ALPE) is a relatively new technique for describing pulmonary gas exchange at the bedside. ALPE has however up until now never been used systematically in patients suffering from COPD, and potentially a number of challenges exists if this technique is to be applied in COPD. This thesis describes challenges that should be solved in order to successfully allow ALPE to describe pulmonary gas
exchange in COPD, these relate to: The mathematical model included in ALPE, the measurement of reliable data in unstable breathing, relaxation of the need to measure at steady state, and integration of ALPE description with other clinically available measurements.

In the second part of the thesis, the methods and results from the thesis’ three papers are presented, describing solutions to the identified challenges. It is shown, that a three parameter model of pulmonary gas exchange can adequately describe gas exchange in patients suffering from COPD. The model of pulmonary gas exchange needs to incorporate both description of low and high \( \bar{V}A/Q \) in order to describe COPD. Moreover, it has been experimentally shown, that ALPE equipment available for clinical practice, can measure input variables for the mathematical model satisfactorily. In relation to measurement at steady state, a novel method has been presented, where breath by breath measurements can be used to parameterize the model. This method uses an estimated circulation delay of measured pulse oximetry arterial oxygen saturation to calibrate data and construct a new dataset suitable for the model. It was shown experimentally, that the new method could describe patients as well as the traditionally steady state method. Integration of the ALPE description of gas exchange together with standard spirometry measurement and clinical description of sputum production was investigated by mean of causal relation. A causal probabilistic network was constructed, and it was shown capable of categorizing patients according to pathoanatomical subgroups normally only available using CT-scans.

In summary, this thesis has identified challenges for the application of ALPE in COPD and has presented novel solutions to these. The primary outcome is a novel method allowing steady state mathematical model of pulmonary gas exchange to be parameterized using breath per breath data, and a causal probabilistic network capable of targeting CT categorization of COPD.
Danish summary

Kronisk obstruktiv lungesygdom (KOL) er en alvorlig respiratorisk sygdom, der er karakteriseret ved fremadskridende og irreversibel nedgang i lungefunktionen. Dette medfører høj morbiditet og mortalitet, og KOL forventes at være den fjerde mest sandsynlige dødsårsag i 2030. Diagnosticering og klassificering af KOL kan ses som den vanskelige opgave, at beskrive en heterogen sygdom, der påvirker et komplekst organ, ved hjælp af relativt simple målinger. Traditionelt har diagnosen af KOL været baseret på patienternes ekspiratoriske kunnen målt ved spirometri, klassificeret i fire niveauer. Oftest formår dette dog ikke at beskrive heterogeniteten i sygdommen, og derfor benytter klinikere sig ofte af yderligere diagnostiske værktøjer.

I den første del af denne afhandling, bliver de i øjeblikket tilgængelige værktøjer til diagnosticering af KOL beskrevet og vurderet. Der er lagt særlig fokus på værktøjer til beskrivelse af abnormal pulmonal gasudveksling, da det er forfatterens overbevisning, at alternative løsninger er nødvendige her. Den eksperimentielle reference teknik til vurdering af pulmonal gasudveksling, Multiple Inert Gas Elimination Technique (MIGET), har påvist at misforholdet mellem pulmonal ventilation og perfusion er den primære årsag til iltnings problemer i KOL. Den aktuelle klinisk tilgængelig måling, single breath diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO), kan ikke beskrive denne årsag, da den er slået sammen med andre mulige årsager som diffusionsmodstand og intra pulmonær shunt. Da MIGET er en eksperimentel teknik og derfor ikke egnet til rutinemæssig klinisk praksis, kunne andre værktøjer være interessant. På denne baggrund har undersøgelse af, om en ny teknik kan beskrive \( \dot{V}A/\dot{Q} \) mismatch ud fra klinisk tilgængelige måling i KOL, vært det primære fokus i denne afhandling.

The Automatiske Lung Parameter Estimator (ALPE) er en relativt ny teknik til at beskrive pulmonal gasudveksling i klinisk praksis. ALPE er dog aldrig anvendt systematisk i patienter, der lider af KOL, og potentielt eksisterer der en række udfordringer, hvis denne teknik skal anvendes i KOL. Denne afhandling beskriver udfordringer, der bør løses for at ALPE kan benyttes til at beskrive pulmonal gasudveksling i KOL, de vedrører:
Den matematiske model der indgår i ALPE, måling af pålidelige data i ustabil vejtrækning, afhængighed af målinger i steady state, og integration af ALPE beskrivelsen med andre klinisk tilgængelige målinger.

I anden del af afhandlingen præsenteres metoder og resultater fra de tre artikler tesen er baseret på og løsninger på de identificerede udfordringer bliver beskrevet. Det er vist, at en tre parameter model af pulmonal gasudveksling kan beskrive gasudveksling i patienter der lider af KOL. Modellen af pulmonal gasudveksling skal både kunne beskrive høj og lav \( V_{A}/Q \) for at være tilstrækkelig i KOL. Endvidere er det eksperimentelt blevet vist, at det kommercielt tilgængelig ALPE tilfredsstilende kan måle input variablene til den matematiske model. I forhold til steady state måling er en ny metode blevet præsenteret, hvor per åndedrag målinger kan bringes til at parameterisere modellen. Denne metode bruger en estimeret forsinkelse i målt puls oximetritil at kalibrere data og konstruere et nyt datasæt, der er egnet til modellen. Det er blevet eksperimentelt vist, at den nye metode kan beskrive patienterne tilsvarende den traditionelle steady state metode. Integration af ALPE beskrivelsen af gasudveksling med standard spirometri og klinisk beskrivelse af produktiv hoste blev undersøgt ved hjælp af kausale relationer. Et kausal probabilistisk netværk blev konstrueret og det blev vist at være i stand til at kategorisere patienter i henhold til patoanatomisk undergrupper normalt kun tilgængelige via CT-scanninger.

Sammenfattende har denne afhandling identificeret udfordringer for anvendelse af ALPE i KOL og har fremlagt løsninger til disse. De primære resultater er en ny metode der tillader at parameterisere en steady state matematisk model af pulmonal gasudveksling ved hjælp af per åndedrag målinger, samt et kausal probabilistiske netværk der er i stand til at karakterisere KOL på samme måde som CT-skanninger.
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